Characterizing nutrient distributions and fluxes in a eutrophic reservoir, Midwestern United States
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HIGHLIGHTS
• The role sedimentary nutrients play in triggering algal blooms is poorly understood.
• Spatial sampling of sediment and water was conducted in an agricultural reservoir.
• Core experiments examined nutrient behavior via diffusion and sediment resuspension.
• Diffusion releases sediments N and P; resuspension releases N but promotes P storage.
• Sediments may be an endogenous nutrient source contributing to algal blooms.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

Harmful algal blooms are increasingly common in aquatic ecosystems and have been linked to runoff from agricultural land. This study investigated the internal nutrient (i.e., phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N)) dynamics of a eutrophic reservoir in the Midwestern United States to constrain the potential for sedimentary nutrients to stimulate harmful algal blooms. The spatial distribution of nutrients in the water column (soluble reactive P (SRP), nitrate/nitrite-N (NOx-N), and ammonium-N (NH4+-N)) and sediments (total P, total carbon (C), total N, and organic matter (OM)) were quantified and mapped. Water column nutrients varied spatially and temporally, with generally higher concentrations near the dam wall during normal lake levels. The upper portion of the lake, near the inlet, was sampled during a flood event and had overall higher nutrient concentrations and lower chlorophyll levels compared to normal lake level samples. Mean sedimentary total P (936 mg/kg) was ~30% higher in the reservoir than the surrounding upland soils, with the highest concentrations near the dam wall (1661 mg/kg) and a significant positive correlation found between sedimentary total P, total C, total N, and organic matter (OM). Additionally, 15 intact sediment cores were manipulated ex situ to examine mechanisms of nutrient flux across the sediment-water interface (SWI) that may trigger algal blooms. Core treatment conditions included advection (i.e., simulating potential nutrient fluxes during wind events through sediment resuspension) and diffusion. Core experiments indicated both advective and diffusive conditions at the SWI may trigger the flux of nutrients important for algal growth from lake sediments, with diffusion contributing both N and P to the water column, while intense advection increased water column N, but decreased P. Release of P to the water column may be more diffusion-driven than advection-driven, whereas N release to the water column appears to be both diffusion- and advection-driven.
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1. Introduction

Globally, intensive agriculture has been linked to eutrophication, declining water quality, and algal blooms, resulting in more than $2 billion in annual damage in the United States alone (Paerl et al., 2011). Algal blooms are triggered by excess inputs of the normally limiting nutrients nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), and can be responsible for a range of human health and environmental risks, from liver toxic Microcystis blooms in Lake Erie (Kane et al., 2014) to the expanding Dead Zone in the Gulf of Mexico (Yaeger et al., 2013). Harmful algal blooms are predicted to increase in magnitude and frequency, exacerbated by increasing pollution and climate change (Paerl et al., 2011; Paerl and Paul, 2012). Systems vulnerable to harmful blooms include lakes and reservoirs, particularly those in highly agricultural areas. River impoundments may further affect watershed-scale biogeochemical processes (Powers et al., 2013): eutrophic reservoirs have been shown to be sites of N loss and co-occurring P storage (David et al., 2006; Grantz et al., 2014). Extensive denitrification in large, shallow reservoirs may be beneficial, leaving less N to contribute to downstream hypoxia. However, large impoundments may not be enough to mitigate downstream hypoxia, and N pollution is problematic for reservoirs (David et al., 2006).

Accumulated P in lakebed sediments may result in a system of self-perpetuating eutrophication through endogenous loading of stored P (Reddy et al., 1996; Grantz et al., 2014). Significant P loading from sediments has been observed in environments as diverse as estuarine (Roy et al., 2012), boreal (Tammeorg et al., 2015), and subtropical (Xie et al., 2003; Grunwald et al., 2006; Torres et al., 2014), and in bodies of water as large as the Laurentian Great Lakes (Kane et al., 2014) and Lake Taihu in China (You et al., 2007). Excess P inputs are often stored in lentic systems as “legacy” P (Sharpley et al., 2013), which is P that has been accumulating in sediments over time and may take tens to thousands of years to flux out of the system (Kleinman et al., 2011). Algal blooms fed by internally stored P fluxing into the water column would not be affected by nutrient runoff mitigation and could continue in near-perpetuity, as reflected by some systems that have naturally high P inputs (e.g., Kilinc and Moss, 2002). In fact, algae like Microcystis can stimulate P release from the sediments through raising water column pH via photosynthesis (Xie et al., 2003).

Important mechanisms for internal loading in lentic systems are diffusive and advective processes (Reddy and Newman, 1992; Roy et al., 2012; Tammeorg et al., 2015). In detail, eutrophic systems can lead to bottom water anoxia that triggers P release due to reduction of iron (Fe)–bearing minerals. Moreover, water columns depleted of nutrients from high levels of primary productivity in summer may drive molecular diffusion of nutrients from sediments into the water column (Einsele, 1936; Mortimer, 1941; Amribahman et al., 2003; Amribahman et al., 2012). In terms of advective (defined here as the transport of sediments and porefluids from the lakebed to the water column via sediment resuspension), P dynamics in shallow lacustrine environments have been shown to be wind-driven (e.g., Søndergaard et al., 1992; Zhang et al., 2011) due to wind-induced wave action causing shear on the bed sediments (Ding et al., 2012). This may result in bioavailable soluble reactive P (SRP) desorption from suspended sediment particles as a response to lower water column P levels or SRP release from enriched sediment porewaters. By increasing shear stress on the sediment, more bioavailable P may be released depending on the composition of the sediment (Dorich et al., 1985; Moore and Reddy, 1994). Indeed, hurricanes, accompanied by intense storms and large shear stresses at the sediment-water interface (SWI), have been reported to stimulate SRP upwelling in lakes and wetlands (Ding et al., 2012; Dunne et al., 2012).

We examined patterns of nutrient loading and storage in Carlyle Lake, Illinois, USA, an impacted agricultural reservoir in the Mississippi River watershed in which algal blooms have been observed, to determine if conditions favorable for algal blooms could be triggered by internal loading alone. This study seeks to answer the following questions: what are the concentrations and spatial distributions of sedimentary and surface water nutrient pools in a shallow reservoir surrounded by intensive agricultural land use, and what drives the exchange of nutrients between the sediments and water column: advective or diffusive flux? By constraining the distribution of the endogenous nutrient load and dominant mechanism of nutrient flux, this study seeks to inform reservoir management for improved remediation of nutrient pollution and the concomitant algal blooms and hypoxia that threaten water security.

2. Site description

Carlyle Lake is the lower of two large impoundments along the Kaskaskia River, which drains central Illinois, USA, and eventually flows into the Mississippi River. The Kaskaskia River watershed is 14,152 km² of predominantly agricultural land (i.e., 67%; Chiang et al., 2012). The upper Kaskaskia River watershed has extensive tile drainage, which rapidly conduits nutrient-rich runoff to waterbodies (David et al., 2006; Yaeger et al., 2013). Carlyle Lake was dedicated in 1967, and is managed by the US Army Corps of Engineers for flood control purposes (Illinois State Water Survey, 1975), drinking water supply, and recreation. It is large and generally shallow (105 km²; mean depth = 3.4 m; Illinois Department of Natural Resources, 2016), with two distinct sections divided by a railway trestle: a much shallower (mostly <1 m) northern portion (referred to here as the “upper lake”) below the Kaskaskia River inlet, which features partially submerged trees, and a larger, deeper, and southern portion (referred to as the “lower lake”) that extends below the railway to the dam wall. Carlyle Lake can experience high winds and waves due its large fetch. The size and highly agricultural setting of the lake’s drainage area (7030 km²; 73% farmland; Fig. 1) has likely led to the observed high levels of P in Carlyle Lake’s water column (e.g., US Army Corps of Engineers, 2006), above the Illinois General Use Water Quality Standard for total P in lakes (i.e., 0.05 ppm; Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, 2016). The lake’s compromised water quality is evidenced by anecdotal reports of fish kills and algal blooms, both of which were observed on the shores of the upper lake during sampling for this study.

3. Methods

3.1. Field sampling

To understand the distribution of nutrients within Carlyle Lake, we collected an extensive and high resolution suite of water and sediment samples. Sampling sites were randomly generated in ArcMap 10.1 using a random raster probability surface, and accessed by boat on 4–6 May 2015 and 9 June 2015. The first sampling period was focused on the lower lake with some samples collected at upper lake boat docks. The second sampling period was during flooding conditions when the upper lake was boat-accessible. In situ water quality parameters (dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, specific conductivity, turbidity, and chlorophyll concentration) were measured at each sampling site using a YSI 6600V2 or EXO2 sonde (Yellow Springs Instruments, Yellow Springs, Ohio) and a Hach Turbidimeter (Hach Company, Loveland, Colorado). Water samples for nutrient analysis (SRP, nitrate/nitrite-N (NNO₃⁻,N) and ammonium-N (NH₄⁺-N)) were taken from the lake surface (“surface water”) and close to the SWI (“bottom water”) using a Van Dorn water sampler. Samples were stored in acid-washed polyethylene bottles on ice until reaching the lab, whereupon they were filtered through 0.45 μm filters into 20 mL scintillation vials, preserved with sulfuric acid (pH < 2), and stored at 4 °C until analysis. Sediments for total P, total carbon (C), total N, and organic matter (OM) analyses were collected using a Petite Ponar grab sampler (Wildco, Yulee, Florida). The upper 5 cm of sediment was transferred to ziplock bags using a marked trowel and stored on ice until reaching the lab; samples were then refrigerated at 4 °C until analysis. A total of 64 discrete sampling locations in the lake body were utilized for...
the spatial study, and where possible, surface water (64 total), bottom water (62 total), and sediment (61 total) samples were collected at these locations. Additionally, two tributaries were sampled for surface water (i.e., the North Fork and Kaskaskia River below the dam). We also sampled three upland soils around the lake (Fig. 1) for total P, total C, total N, and OM as a coarse comparison to lake sediment.

To assess the role of advective and diffusive flux in nutrient dynamics along the SWI, 20 intact sediment cores of varying lengths (8–15 cm) were collected from a cove near the West Branch tributary, located on the west side of the reservoir just south of the railway trestle (chosen due to logistical constraints based on water levels as a generally representative site for the lake as a whole; Fig. 1). Cores were collected on 29 July 2015 using a manual piston corer (Fisher et al., 1992) fitted with 46 cm-long and 7 cm-diameter acrylic core tubes. Cores were topped with lake water to minimize sediment oxidation, sealed with rubber stoppers and duct tape, and stored upright prior to returning to the lab. Lake water was collected near the coring site nine days before core samples were obtained, and stored in an opaque carboy to prevent photosynthesis-induced changes in nutrients.

3.2. Lake water and sediment characterization

Surface and bottom water samples from Carlyle Lake were colorimetrically analyzed (US Environmental Protection Agency, 1993) for SRP (method detection limit (MDL): 0.002 ppm), NO₃-N (MDL: 0.005 ppm), and NH₄⁺-N (MDL: 0.004 ppm) on a Seal AQ2 Discrete Analyzer (Seal Analytical Limited, Mequon, Wisconsin). For all analyses on the AQ2, blank samples, duplicates, and duplicate samples “spiked” with a known concentration of a nutrient were run every 10 samples. Analytical error was within ±20%. We followed the method of Anderson (1976) to determine total sedimentary P. Briefly, dried, ground subsamples were combusted (250 °C for 30 min, then 3 h at 550 °C), followed by boiling in 6N HCl on a hot plate (converting sample P to SRP), and measurement of the supernatant on an AQ2 for SRP. We determined OM content through loss-on-ignition during the total sedimentary P processing step where samples were combusted at 550 °C. Total C and total N were measured on dried and ground subsamples using an Elemental Combustion System (Costech Analytical Technologies, Valencia, California).

Fig. 1. Site map showing Carlyle Lake’s drainage area (7030 km²) and land cover classification. Approximately 73% of the land cover is agricultural (i.e., cultivated crops and hay/pasture). Note the presence of two reservoirs in the drainage area: Lake Shelbyville in the north and Carlyle Lake (expanded with important tributaries labeled) in the south. Red circles show sampling locations of waters and sediments and yellow triangles represent upland sample locations where we collected soils. The railway trestle dividing the lake is shown as a white line, starting just below the Spring Branch tributary. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
3.3. Spatial interpolation and analysis

Carlyle Lake’s drainage area was delineated in ArcMap 10.1 to determine percent land cover from crop data (US Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Services, 2000; US Department of Agriculture, 2015). Inverse distance weighting (IDW) interpolation was used to generate surfaces of a given parameter from georeferenced data in ArcMap using the Geostatistical Analyst extension clipped to Carlyle Lake’s shapefile (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2014). IDW is a deterministic method where assigned values to unknown points are calculated with a weighted average of known points (Li and Heap, 2008).

3.4. Core study

A total of 20 cores were used to determine nutrient flux dynamics across the SWI in lab experiments. The day following core collection in the field, 15 of the cores were randomly split into three groups of five replicates and were treated to mimic the effects of advection through simulated storm pulses inducing sediment resuspension (“agitation” group) on a water column of lake water, molecular diffusive flux on a water column of lake water (“site water diffusion” group), and molecular diffusive flux on a water column of nutrient-free distilled deionized (DI) water (“DI diffusion” group) to simulate flux to a depleted water column that might occur under conditions of high primary productivity. The remaining five cores were split into 0–5 cm and 5–10 cm intervals, transferred to ziplock bags, and refrigerated at 4 °C until analysis a week after collection for sedimentary total P, total N, and total C and KCl-extractable NOx-N, NH4+-N, and SRP to characterize the untreated sediments and act as a proxy for pre-experimental conditions.

Prior to the experiment, core water columns added in the field were slowly poured off in the lab and replaced with a 10 cm water column corresponding to treatment type, then core tubes were capped with rubber stoppers. The cores were then stored upright at room temperature (22–25 °C, compared to average lake temperatures of 21.8 °C) in light-free conditions to inhibit photosynthesis. The agitation group was treated with simulated storm pulses in a shaker over 29 d as follows: 1) 5 d shaken at 195 rpm, mimicking an extreme wind storm, 2) 12 d of no agitation to represent a period of quiescence, 3) 5 d shaken at 65 rpm after an initial 2 h at 165 rpm, mimicking the progression of a less severe storm event, and 4) 5 d of no agitation to represent a second period of quiescence. Diffusion cores were observed for a 22 d period.

Stored lake water used as replacement water was periodically run for nutrients to monitor changes in chemistry. For each treatment type, sampling frequency progressively decreased from the onset of the treatment, decreasing from hourly to weekly intervals. Water samples were pipetted in equal volumes from the top, middle, and near-SWI in the water column (9 mL total) and passed through a 0.45 μm syringe filter, then stored in scintillation vials at 4 °C. Analysis for SRP, NOx-N, and NH4+-N occurred within 12 h of sample collection on the AQ2 in the manner described in Section 3.2. Due to the potential for redox changes in N species, we also examined the combined concentrations of NOx-N and NH4+-N (referred to here as “total dissolved N species”) throughout the experiment. Any changes in water column pH, DO, specific conductivity, and temperature were measured in situ using a YSI ProPlus (Yellow Springs Instruments, Yellow Springs, Ohio) during sample collection. Due to sample volume limitations, we measured water turbidity with a Hach Turbidimeter rather than total suspended solids (TSS). A turbidity-TSS relationship curve was developed for this study by measuring the turbidity of mixtures with known amounts of untreated core sediments and ambient lake water. This eliminated the need to perform TSS analysis on treated cores throughout the experiment. Due to instrument malfunctions, we were unable to sample individual replicates on days 6–17 of the experiment, and samples had to be acidified and composited for the five replicates within each treatment group during this time.

To determine if the sediment-sorbed and porewater N and P pools were affected by the treatment conditions, treated core sediments were destructively analyzed after the experiment for KCl-extractable SRP (MDL: 0.04 ppm), NOx-N (MDL: 0.015 ppm), and NH4+-N (MDL: 0.04 ppm) and were compared to the untreated cores. In detail, cores were split into 0–5 cm and 5–10 cm (up to 10 cm) segments and homogenized in order to compare nutrient content in sediments at the SWI, which have been shown to be most active in terms of interaction with the water column (Qin et al., 2004), to deeper sediment nutrient pools. Approximately 11 g of sediment was shaken with 100 mL of 2 M KCl for 1 h, the solution was filtered through Whatman no. 1 filter paper, and the supernatant run on an AQ2 (US Environmental Protection Agency, 1993). Additionally, the total P, total N, total C, and OM for each treated core were analyzed as described in Section 3.2. Sedimentary metal levels (Fe, calcium (Ca), and aluminum (Al)) were analyzed via Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES; Perkin-Elmer Optima 7300DV; Waltham, Massachusetts) using supernatant from the total P method. Sedimentary metal levels were used as a proxy for mineralogical analysis. Replicates were run every 10 samples; the relative standard deviation was within ±5%.

3.5. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed in R 2.14.2 with Hmisc package cor() and rcorr() functions to compute Pearson correlations with significance levels set at p < 0.05 (R Core Team, 2016). Microsoft Excel was used to compute linear regressions, analyses of variance (ANOVA), repeat measures (RM)-ANOVA, and paired t-tests for means.

4. Results

4.1. Spatial distribution of nutrients in water and sediments

Generally, water column nutrient concentrations were lowest in the lake during May sampling when the water level was low, and highest in the upper lake during June flooding (Fig. 2; note that the May upper lake interolation relies on data from five sampling locations: four along the shoreline and one beside the railway). The higher SRP and NOx-N during the June sampling event coincided with lower mean specific conductivity during the flood pulse (416 μS/cm in May versus 263 μS/cm in June). In contrast to the nutrient levels, mean chlorophyll levels were higher in May than in June (25 ppb and 15 ppb, respectively). Lake chlorophyll concentration was higher than levels measured (May only) in the North Fork tributary (6.46 ppb) and the Kaskasia River downstream of the dam (4.78 ppb). Nutrient and chlorophyll concentrations were generally homogenous (i.e., p > 0.05) with water column depth, with the exception of May SRP and June NH4+-N levels, which were higher in bottom waters (p < 0.05), especially near the dam wall (Fig. 2).

The total P in lake sediments generally increased from the north (i.e., the lake inlet) to the south (i.e., the dam wall). Mean sedimentary total P was 936 mg/kg, with the highest levels (up to 1661 mg/kg) occurring near the dam wall in the deepest portions of the lake (Fig. 3) and the lowest levels near the lake’s northeastern tributaries (i.e., 138–800 mg/kg). The mean total P in lake sediments was high compared to that of three upland soil samples collected near the lake (average: 648 mg/kg; locations shown in Figs. 1, 3). Sedimentary total C and OM distributions across the lake were generally similar to total P, with higher concentrations towards the southern portions of the lake near the dam wall. In contrast, the highest total N concentrations were encountered in the upper lake (Fig. 3), extending southwestwards from the North Fork and East Fork tributaries. Upland soil samples exhibited higher mean levels of total C (30.50 g/kg) and similar total N and OM concentrations (2.17 g/kg and 9.64%, respectively) compared to lake sediments (lake mean total C, total N, and OM: 16.32 g/kg, 2.38 g/kg, and 8.50%, respectively).
Based on Pearson correlations of sediment and water data, there are several significant relationships (Table 1). For sediments, total P was highly correlated with total C content and OM ($p < 0.001$). Water depth was positively correlated to total P ($p = 0.005$) and total C ($p < 0.001$). Total C and OM levels were found to be significantly ($p < 0.001$) lower in the upper lake compared to the lower lake. For lake waters, pH and DO were negatively correlated with both surface and bottom water SRP and NOx-N concentrations ($p < 0.001$) and with surface NH$_4^+$-N ($p < 0.001$). Dissolved nutrient concentrations were inversely proportional to chlorophyll levels ($p < 0.001$), with the exception of bottom water NH$_4^+$-N. Turbidity levels were positively correlated with SRP ($p < 0.001$) and NOx-N ($p < 0.001$).

4.2. Core study

Initially, nutrient concentrations were similar in the advection and site water diffusion cores as both were treated with site water (i.e., −0.1 ppm SRP, −0.08 ppm NOx-N, and −0.2 ppm NH$_4^+$-N); cores treated with DI were expectedly lower for all nutrients at the start of the experiment (i.e., 0 ppm SRP, 0.058 ppm NOx-N, and 0.071 ppm NH$_4^+$-N; Fig. 4). Core water column pH was similar between treatment groups (pH = 7.5–8) throughout the experiment. Agitated cores had relatively high DO levels compared to the other cores: up to 7.14 ppm during agitation from lows of about 3.5 ppm during quiescence periods. Diffusion cores initially had DO levels of around 6 ppm, which steadily decreased over the 22 d experiment to 3 ppm. In the following, we describe changes in nutrient concentrations over the course of our experiment and test the significance of these changes using R$^2$ values.

4.2.1. Advection group

In the agitation group, intensive advective flux (195 rpm) along the SWI caused SRP to decrease to below detection within 6 h of the onset of the experiment, but NOx-N and NH$_4^+$-N increased over the first five days of testing (to 1.769 ppm and 1.025 ppm, respectively; Fig. 4). The NOx-N increase was linear with time ($R^2 = 0.92$), whereas the NH$_4^+$-N trend was not ($R^2 = 0.03$). The subsequent 12-day period of no agitation resulted in a slight increase in SRP concentration to 0.035 ppm ($R^2 = 0.59$), a decrease in water column NOx-N to 0.631 ppm ($R^2 = 0.25$), and no significant change in NH$_4^+$-N ($R^2 = 0.06$). The second, five-day agitation period at a lower speed (65 rpm after an initial 2 h at 165 rpm) resulted in an initial decrease in SRP to below detection, followed by an increase to 0.030 ppm ($R^2 = 0.25$). The NOx-N levels did not change significantly ($R^2 < 0.01$), whereas NH$_4^+$-N levels decreased to 0.305 ppm ($R^2 = 0.61$). The second period of no agitation saw a plateauing of SRP levels around 0.05 ppm, plateauing of NOx-N around 1 ppm ($R^2 = 0.24$), and a decrease in NH$_4^+$-N to 0.113 ppm ($R^2 = 0.70$). In terms of total dissolved N, water column concentrations increased during the first agitation period, then steadily decreased for the rest of the experiment.

4.2.2. Site water diffusion group

SRP in site water diffusion cores showed three distinct periods of change in concentration (Fig. 4). In the first three days, SRP generally decreased from an initial concentration of 0.090 ppm to 0.046 ppm ($R^2 = 0.59$). In the following seven days, SRP increased to 0.104 ppm ($R^2 = 0.75$), then decreased for the last twelve days of the experiment ($R^2 = 0.96$) to 0.025 ppm. The average SRP concentration in the site...
water diffusion cores only dropped below 0.05 ppm in days 3 and 22. The NO₃-N concentrations in the site water diffusion group increased linearly (R² = 0.84) from 0.074 ppm to 0.538 ppm over 22 days. The NH₄⁺-N concentration increased for the first four days (R² = 0.97), plateauing around 0.7 ppm until day 22, when levels dropped to below pre-experiment levels (i.e., 0.134 ppm). Total dissolved N increased linearly (R² = 0.76) from 0.262 ppm to 1.186 ppm over 16 days, and then decreased to 0.870 ppm at the end of the experiment (R² = 0.28).

4.2.3. DI water diffusion group

DI water treatments resulted in a rapid, linear increase (R² = 0.95) in column water SRP from below detectable levels to 0.276 ppm in the first seven days, then a decrease to 0.135 ppm by the end of the 22-day experiment (Fig. 4). It took less than two days for the SRP concentration in the DI columns to go above the regulatory limit for total P in lakes (i.e., 0.05 ppm; Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, 2016). Dissolved N concentrations in the DI cores increased linearly over 22 days: NO₃-N to 0.599 ppm (R² = 0.71) and NH₄⁺-N to 1.190 ppm (R² = 0.82). Total dissolved N flux to the DI water columns continuously increased from 0.129 ppm to 1.789 ppm over 22 days (R² = 0.87). Based on RM-ANOVA, it was found that water column nutrient trends in the site water diffusion and DI diffusion cores over the course of the experiment were not significantly different (p = 0.87, 0.15, and 0.52 for SRP, NO₃-N, and NH₄⁺-N, respectively). In comparison, intense (195 rpm) agitation over the first 5 days of the experiment increased NO₃-N flux by at least 19× compared to diffusion treatments over the same time. Agitated cores showed 4× lower flux rates of NH₄⁺-N compared to diffusion cores over the first 5 days but the total N flux in agitated cores was about 2× greater than the diffusion core treatments due to the higher NO₃-N flux. Differences in flux rates were evaluated by comparing the slopes of the linear regressions for the time period and nutrients of interest.

4.2.4. Replacement water trends

The replacement water trends for each measured nutrient are shown in Fig. 4. High-frequency sampling resulted in 496 mL of column water being removed and replaced from each agitation core over 29 d.
Table 1
Pearson correlation coefficients ($r$) for sediment and water parameters. Parameters are abbreviated as follows: TP: total P, TN: total N, TC: total C, SPC: specific conductivity, Turb: turbidity, and Chlor: chlorophyll. Prefixes s and b refer to surface and bottom water samples, respectively. Colors indicate significant $p$-values, where green is $p < 0.001$, blue is $p < 0.01$, yellow is $p < 0.05$, and no color is $p > 0.05$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>OM</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>sSRP</th>
<th>bSRP</th>
<th>sNO$_3^-$</th>
<th>bNO$_3^-$</th>
<th>sNH$_4^+$</th>
<th>bNH$_4^+$</th>
<th>sSPC</th>
<th>sDO</th>
<th>s$p$H</th>
<th>sTurb</th>
<th>sChlor</th>
<th>bSPC</th>
<th>bDO</th>
<th>b$p$H</th>
<th>bTurb</th>
<th>bcChlor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sSRP</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bSRP</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sNO$_3^-$</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bNO$_3^-$</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sNH$_4^+$</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bNH$_4^+$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sSPC</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sDO</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s$p$H</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sTurb</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sChlor</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bSPC</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bDO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b$p$H</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bTurb</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bcChlor</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Plots showing core water column nutrient concentrations during the experiment. Dashed lines indicate changes in advection in the agitation group: A: 195 rpm agitation, B: no agitation, C: 65 rpm agitation after initial 2 h of 165 rpm, and D: no agitation. Black circles indicate five replicate samples of the water columns and standard error bars are shown. Open triangles indicate composited, acidified samples. The chemical composition of replacement lake water used to compensate for water volume loss due to sample collection in the agitation and site water diffusion groups is indicated with black lines. DI water (0 ppm for all nutrients) was used to compensate for volume loss in the DI diffusion group.
This represents a greater volume than the original water column (383 mL). Diffusion experiment cores had 144 mL of water removed and replaced over 22 d. Thus, the agitation cores lost 0.012 mg SRP and 0.810 mg N through sample collection and gained 0.086 mg SRP and 0.284 mg N through water replacement for a net gain of 0.074 mg SRP and a net loss of 0.526 mg N. Site water diffusion cores experienced a net increase of 0.011 mg SRP (0.023 mg added; 0.012 mg removed) and a net loss of 0.034 mg N (0.064 mg added; 0.098 mg removed). Therefore, the replacement lake water was SRP-enriched and N-depleted relative to the sediments. Nevertheless, RM-ANOVA showed that replacement lake water and the agitation core and site water core groups had significantly different nutrient concentrations throughout the 22-day experiment \( (p < 0.006 \text{ for SRP, NO}_x\text{-N, and NH}_4\text{-N}) \), indicating that endogenous input of nutrients from the sediments was controlling the nutrient levels and trends of the cores, not the addition of replacement lake water. Samples removed from DI diffusion cores resulted in a loss of 0.017 mg SRP and 0.085 mg N.

4.2.5. Sedimentary nutrients and metals

At the end of the experiment, treated core sediments had significantly lower extractable NO\(_x\)-N in the top 5 cm of sediment (2.56–2.86 mg/kg less) compared to untreated cores (Fig. 5). Extractable NH\(_4\)-N in the top 5 cm was significantly higher in the DI group compared to untreated samples (4.22 mg/kg more), but there were no significant differences between untreated samples and the other two treatment groups in the top 5 cm (Fig. 5). Site water diffusion core sediments below 5 cm depth had significantly more extractable NH\(_4\)-N (2.56 mg/kg more) compared to untreated sediments, but there were no significant differences between the untreated cores and other two treatment cores for the deeper sediments. Extractable SRP was below detection for all treatment groups for the untreated cores and other two treatment cores for the deeper sediments. The mean sedimentary Fe concentration in the upper 5 cm of cores was 14,338 mg/kg, which represents higher Fe, Ca, and Al levels than those in the lower portion of the reservoir. These high concentrations of total N were observed in sediments settling as system transitions from lotic to lentic (e.g., Schleiss, 2013). Conversely, in the lower lake, where inorganic sediment deposition is likely lower, OM, and therefore total P, increases. This is reflected by the similarly distributed regions of high concentrations of total P, total C, and OM (Fig. 3).

In addition to declining sediment deposition towards the dam, we speculate that the patterns we observed in total P, total C, and OM may also be related to changes in the grain size of sediments deposited across the reservoir. Our study did not include grain size analyses, but we suspect that coarser sediments are deposited near the inlet of the reservoir as water velocity decreases and finer suspended sediments are transported across a reservoir, some of which are eventually deposited near the dam (Schleiss, 2013). Indeed, during sampling, we observed that sediments in the upper lake were generally sandier in texture than those in the lower portion of the reservoir. These finer sediments near the dam may have higher clay content that could also play a role in P accumulation via surface exchange sites.

5. Discussion

5.1. Spatial distributions of nutrients in an impacted reservoir

Our extensive spatial sampling of water and sediments across a eutrophic, agricultural reservoir demonstrate several important trends in nutrients. Sedimentary total P concentrations in Carlyle Lake were highest near the dam wall. Instead, the high concentrations of total N were observed towards the dam wall rather than near the inlet of the lake where the incoming Kaskaskia River delivers predominantly agriculturally derived runoff. We also observed increasing levels of total C and OM towards the dam wall. Based on the strong correlations between sedimentary total P, total C, and OM, and the fact that all three are lower in the upper lake, we surmise that the total P signature is related to differences in OM deposition processes across the lake. In the upper lake, OM deposition may be more limited or “diluted” by high inorganic sediment deposition from the incoming Kaskaskia River. In other words, the relative percent of OM may be lower due to burial with coarse inorganic sediments settling as system transitions from lotic to lentic (e.g., Schleiss, 2013). Conversely, in the lower lake, where inorganic sediment deposition is likely lower, OM, and therefore total P, increases. This is reflected by the similarly distributed regions of high concentrations of total P, total C, and OM (Fig. 3).
near the North Fork and East Fork tributaries. This pattern may reflect additions of higher N content due to differing land use in the North Fork and East Fork watersheds. Indeed, these tributaries generally featured higher forested land cover (which immobilizes N at greater rates than agricultural land; Xu and Xu, 2015) compared to the mainstem of the Kaskaskia River.

The large stores of P we observed in the sediments may provide context for historical US Army Corps of Engineers observations of Carlyle Lake’s water chemistry, where P concentrations were often >0.05 ppm, the benchmark for eutrophication. In detail, stored “legacy” P in the surface sediments and porewaters may act as a persistent source to the water column over long time periods (Sharpley et al., 2013). For example, sediments near the dam wall exhibited the highest total P levels observed in the study (i.e., over 1600 mg/kg), and corresponding water column P levels were likewise elevated relative to the rest of the lake at ~0.1 ppm (Figs. 2, 3).

The sedimentary nutrient levels we observed across the reservoir are likely influenced by periodic pulses of nutrient-rich flood waters to the lake. When lake levels were low (i.e., during the May sampling event), nutrients in the water column were generally lower and homogeneous across the lake (Fig. 2). However, we observed that waters high in nutrients are imported from surrounding tributaries after rain events (seen during the June 2015 flood event; Fig. 2), which has been observed elsewhere (e.g., Michaelak et al., 2013). These excess nutrients may be assimilated by algae and other organisms (discussed in detail in Section 5.3), leading to the high levels of total P, total C, total N, and OM stored in the sediments, adding to the large internal P and N pools illustrated in Fig. 3.

5.2. Advection- versus diffusion-driven nutrient fluxes along the SWI in reservoirs

Contrary to previous findings in the literature (Zhu et al., 2005; You et al., 2007; Dunne et al., 2012; Hirsch, 2012), where intense storm events and flood pulses have been shown to stimulate exponentially higher SRP export from sediments to lake waters, we found that intense agitation applied to intact sediment cores caused SRP to decrease in the overlying water column. The depletion of SRP can be explained by scavenging of SRP by Fe minerals in sediments, underlining the importance of Fe redox in reservoir P dynamics (e.g., Moore and Reddy, 1994). In fact, the ratio of Fe to total P in the core sediments (~28.7) is above the threshold established by Jensen and Andersen (1992) for P retention under aerobic conditions. If this ratio holds true across the reservoir, internal P loading could be controlled by keeping surficial sediments oxidized. The presence of OM can also enhance the sorptive capacity of sedimentary Fe and Al by increasing the overall negative charge of clays (Łukawska-Matuszewska et al., 2013). However, the results of our core study should be interpreted with the understanding that they are based on sediments from a single location where the total P content was below the lake average. Nevertheless, if the sedimentary Fe levels observed in the cores are similar throughout the lake, Fe redox likely plays a great role in controlling SRP levels in the water column.

Our results are in line with the findings of Fitzgerald (1970), who observed that muds from large Wisconsin lakes, including Lake Mendota (which had comparable sedimentary Fe levels Nriagu (1987) to those observed in this study), could sorb a large amount of SRP under aerobic conditions. Vicente et al. (2010) found that two adjacent lakes demonstrated the importance of Fe in sediments, where the lake with higher sedimentary Fe(III) oxyhydroxide content than its neighbor acted as a SRP sink in response to sediment resuspension, while the lake with lower Fe released SRP under similar conditions.

While we did not measure redox conditions directly during our agitation experiment, lakebed sediments were almost certainly experiencing reducing conditions. This is suggested by several observations: (1) higher DO concentrations in the water column during agitation periods, (2) higher extractable NH₄⁺-N but lower extractable NO₃⁻-N in core sediments (i.e., dissimilatory NO₃⁻ reduction to NH₄⁺), and (3) changes in sediment color (from light gray to orange) once sediments were agitated, likely leading to P co-precipitation with Fe(III) oxyhydroxides upon contact with Fe-bearing sediments compared to other elements known to bind P (e.g., Ca and Al; Li et al., 2012).

P recalcitrance during resuspension into an oxygenated water column indicates a non-apatite (i.e., not Ca-associated), inorganic form of P (Łukawska-Matuszewska et al., 2013). Interestingly, we observed the opposite relationship between turbidity (a proxy for suspended sediments that likely contain Fe able to bind P) and SRP in the field versus the lab. This could be the result of differences in the suspension mechanisms. In our lab experiment, sediments were suspended into the water column from intact lakebed samples due to controlled agitation. However, in the field, sediments may have been in suspension for longer periods of time and sourced from a different area in the lake rather than at the location of interest. In the field, we assume that the suspended sediments were in equilibrium with the aerobic lake water, and thereby unable to bind additional P to Fe-bearing minerals, hence the positive relationship between SRP and turbidity. However, in our lab experiments, the sediments were likely largely anoxic prior to agitation, and therefore had the potential to bind P to Fe-minerals, therefore causing a negative correlation between turbidity and SRP level.

By contrast, diffusive conditions favored reductive SRP release from Fe(III) oxyhydroxide minerals. Although diffusion core water column DO levels were fairly consistent throughout the experiment (~5 ppm), sediment pore waters were likely anoxic as sediments become rapidly anoxic with depth in stagnant conditions. Therefore, the diffusion of SRP from sediments could be important for algal proliferation. Based on our results, we assume that inorganic sedimentary P mobility is likely to be predominantly controlled by Fe dynamics, and will therefore be more sensitive to changing redox conditions: releasing P under anoxic conditions and storing P during resuspension in an oxic water column. Although KCl-extractable SRP in core sediments was below detection (even though total P levels were approximately 500 mg/kg in the upper 5 cm of core sediments), the KCl-extractable SRP detection limit (0.04 ppm) may have been too high for our applications: a flux of ≤0.04 ppm SRP from porewaters would have been a significant contribution to the water column, which exhibited detectable concentrations as low as 0.006 ppm.

It is important to note that SRP scavenging via resuspended sediment has never been described previously in a reservoir with agricultural land practices as intensive as observed at our study site. Most studies that have described such P dynamics were investigations of natural lakes, including glacial lakes (Fitzgerald, 1970; Peters and Cattaneo, 1984), an endorheic glacial basin (Gunatilaka, 1978), and hypersaline coastal lakes (Vicente et al., 2010). A study by De Groot (1981) of a manmade Dutch lake within the Rijnland boezem system (altered through peat mining, water diversion, agriculture, and more recently, tile drainage; Hooghoudt, 1952; Rijnland Water Control Board, 2009) found that sediments acted as a sink for P. Nevertheless, this system is not a river impoundment, and the surroundings featured only a fraction of the agricultural land use and tile drainage observed in our study. Indeed, our study site in the Midwestern US “Corn Belt” features phosphorus-intensive fertilizer application and extensive tile drainage (Yaeger et al., 2013). As much as 82% of the upper Kaskaskia River watershed contains tile-drained land (Yaeger et al., 2013), conducing nutrient-rich water and sediments to streams and reservoirs. Moreover, our site is a reservoir system, which have been shown to alter landscape-scale biogeochemical processes (e.g., Friedl and Wüest, 2002; Powers et al., 2013; Grantz et al., 2014). Our investigation is especially pertinent as population centers in rural areas expand, increasing the reliance on impounded water sources like Carlyle Lake.

In addition to water column depletion of P, the agitation group cores exhibited elevated N concentrations and extreme turbidity levels due to the simulated storm events (up to 11,000 NTU compared to mean in situ
turbidity levels of 455.3 NTU), thus representing the importance of advective flux due to extreme wind events on short-term nutrient export and storage, and, by extension, ecological health (e.g., Hirsch, 2012). High turbidity levels were due to extensive sediment disturbance: we observed distinct banding develop in the upper 2–3 cm of the agitation cores. High N export during agitation (over an order of magnitude larger than diffusive flux) was likely due to sediment disturbance that brought up stored N in porewaters and on exchange sites from the top few centimeters of lakebed sediments. NO$_3^–$N and NH$_4^+$-N levels plateaued as the agitation experiment progressed (Fig. 4), implying that the majority of bioavailable N was fluxed out of the sediment during the first agitation period and subsequently volatilized via denitrification and/or assimilated into microbial biomass. Indeed, we observed marked depletion in extractable NO$_3^–$-N reserves in all treatment groups (Fig. 5), attesting to the mobility of N. It is therefore likely that the reservoir may experience large N removal, perhaps similarly to another impoundment of the Kaskaskia River upstream of Carlyle Lake (David et al., 2006). Nevertheless, based on our experimental results, N-limited algae would benefit under both advective and diffusive conditions, as both mechanisms resulted in high inputs of N to the water column from the sediment. Pulses of endogenous N to the water column could be especially important during late summer, when algal dynamics are N-limited due to low levels of dissolved N species (Chaffin et al., 2013).

Our core study demonstrated that in addition to short-term nutrient reserves in sediments, our agricultural reservoir may also have substantial, long-term endogenous reserves of P and N: after 29 d, total sedimentary P and N did not change significantly. Therefore, it appears that non-extractable sedimentary reserves of P and N were not affected by short-term advective and diffusive processes. Based on the large reserves of total P and N relative to the amounts released during advection or diffusion, we posit that Carlyle Lake, and by extension, reservoirs in similar agricultural settings, could self-supply nutrients for algae without further external input over longer timescales.

5.3. The interrelationship of algae and lake nutrient dynamics: a self-perpetuating algal bloom?

Our study exemplifies how impacted lakes and reservoirs may undergo algal “forcing” of diffusion gradients: algae assimilate nutrients that enter the lake from nutrient-rich flood waters and storm-driven N pulses from lakebed sediments until the water column is depleted. Once the lake water is depleted in nutrients, diffusion is enhanced by the presence of a relatively depleted water column compared to the P- and N-enriched porewaters and sediments, thus allowing more algal growth. Indeed, we observed increased P and N concentrations in our diffusion cores (Fig. 4) that may represent persistent SRP (Søndergaard et al., 2013) and NO$_3^–$-N loading to the nutrient-depleted water columns of shallow lakes in summer.

A considerable amount of P can be taken up by microorganisms inoxic conditions and transformed to cell biomass, particularly polyphosphate, which is the stored form of P in microbial cells (Huang et al., 2011). This phenomenon would both encourage continued flux of P to the water column via diffusion, and return P to the sediment as algae die and fall to the lake bottom. Though not observed in this study, anoxia induced through algal die-offs after blooming could allow Fe-bound P to be released into the water column (Zhou et al., 2013), perpetuating a positive feedback mechanism for continued algal growth.

Additionally, P desorption from the sediment can be stimulated through algal photosynthesis, which raises the pH of the water column through CO$_2$ removal: a pH of 8 or 9 (commonly encountered in the lake during sampling) favors P release via ligand exchange reactions from suspended sediment particles consisting of Fe(III) oxyhydroxides (Jensen and Andersen, 1992). In spatial surveys of Carlyle Lake, we observed a positive correlation between DO and chlorophyll concentration and negative correlations between pH and nutrients, implying that where algae were photosynthesizing and removing CO$_2$ from the water column, they were also taking up nutrients and raising pH (Table 1). These relationships confirm an algal influence on lake pH and nutrient levels. However, pH alone did not favor nutrient storage or release in the mesocosms: cores were near-neutral in pH as we prevented algal growth by storing cores in the dark. In terms of N dynamics, DO is important: oxygen levels rose during simulated storm pulses, which resulted in higher releases of NO$_3^–$-N, i.e., the oxidized form of N, compared to undisturbed diffusion cores, which released more NH$_4^+$-N than NO$_3^–$-N. The predominance of NO$_3^–$-N in June lake water samples was likely due to aeration during flooding (Fig. 2).

In situ measurements of Carlyle Lake’s algal activity (measured as chlorophyll concentration) was found to be highly correlated with low nutrient levels. High lake primary productivity in May could have depleted the water column of nutrients through algal growth and assimilation of N and P, which were conditions we sought to replicate in the DI diffusion core experiment. As the site water and DI diffusion treatments did not significantly differ in terms of overall nutrient flux, we could not quantify a diffusion flux rate to a depleted water column compared to a high-nutrient-water column. It is likely that the site water itself was depleted relative to the sediment, which, assuming that the cores collected were representative of the lake at large, had measurable pools of extractable NO$_3^–$-N and NH$_4^+$-N (Fig. 5).

During the June sampling event, floodwaters were characterized by 20× higher turbidity and 50% lower specific conductivity compared to water chemistry measured in May. We also observed 1.5× lower chlorophyll levels and higher levels of SRP (6×), NO$_3^–$-N (10×), and NH$_4^+$-N (1.3×). Due to the high nutrient levels recorded in the upper lake waters, it is likely that conditions were favorable for algal growth once turbidity decreased. Thus, flood pulses introduce nutrients to the lake that may be taken up by algae and/or stored in lake sediments. Additionally, algae imported from surrounding tributaries during a flood pulse may proliferate once they encounter the high nutrient and lower turbidity conditions of the lake (Conroy, 2007; Kane et al., 2014).

6. Conclusions

Analyses of the waters and sediments of a reservoir within an intensely managed landscape reveal a system that has been highly altered by agriculture: surface sediments (particularly those in deeper areas of the lake) are enriched in total P, and could serve as a long-term endogenous nutrient source for algae, primarily through diffusive flux. We found that P-, C-, and OM-rich sediments accumulate towards the dam wall, and N-rich sediments accumulate closer to the inlet. We also observed that sediment resuspension in the lake promoted the storage of P in sediments, likely through adsorption onto Fe-bearing sediments. It is possible that similar bodies of water may be physically inclined towards P storage: Carlyle Lake’s shallow depths means that the wave base is often in contact with the sediment, thus keeping surface sediments oxygenated, thereby promoting SRP scavenging through Fe oxidation. This may be an important factor controlling SRP levels in lacustrine systems in intensively managed landscapes. In contrast, under diffusive conditions, P was released to the sediments to the water column. The export of bioavailable N species, particularly NO$_3^–$-N, seems to be most efficient under advection conditions based on simulated storm pulses in sediment core mesocosms. Diffusion was also highly important in observed N dynamics. Thus, our results indicate that algal activity could be favored under most conditions in the lake. Large inputs of N to the water column during advection due to a wind storm could stimulate algae, which would then assimilate the nutrients until the water column is relatively depleted. This depletion would then stimulate a diffusion gradient, where stored N and P could be drawn up and continue to feed algal blooms. Our characterization of the behavior of nutrients under diffusive and advective conditions could allow reservoir managers to forecast the extent of nutrient release and the possible impacts on water quality and chemistry.
Acknowledgments

We thank Kevin Stalley and Joe Smothers at US Army Corps of Engineers for their help in coordinating field activities for this project as well as boat access, and appreciate the help from boat operators Carlis Lairson, Daniel Kluemke, and Jordan Smith. We are grateful for help in the lab and field provided by Margaret Hennessey, Andrew Shaughnessy, Emily Deeba, Armahni Fearn, and Robert Wurth. We greatly appreciate Heather Robinson’s help with field work and running the ICP-OES instrument. We thank Dr. Abduwasiit Wulamu for his help with the spatial interpolation aspects of this study. ICP-OES analyses were performed at the Nano Research Facility (NNRF) of Washington University, a member of the National Nanotechnology Infrastructure Network (NNIN), which is supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. ECS-0335765. This project was funded by a Presidential Research Fund grant awarded to L.G. Chambers and other funding sources within Saint Louis University.

Supplemental data

Supplemental data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.168.

References


