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PREFACE

Some measure of interpretation is required in the implementation of any set of guidelines such as these. Interpretation of the guidelines in individual cases is the responsibility of the Chair. However, the expressed spirit and purpose of these guidelines is to establish minimum evaluation ranks associated with various levels of performance.

In evaluating faculty, the chair shall take into consideration peer input, which will be provided by a committee elected by the department consisting of three tenured faculty members and a tenure-earning faculty member, who shall act as an alternate. The alternate shall attend all meetings and will participate when matters relating to another member of the Committee are at hand. The alternate will not sit on review of the files of other tenure-earning faculty members. The Committee will consult with the Department Chair on general concerns and interpretations of the Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures guidelines. In all cases, the final decisions are the sole responsibility of the Chair.

NOTE: Receipt of Excellence awards, TIP, RIA and SoTL are not necessarily evidence of outstanding in the current evaluation period.

Adopted unanimously by a vote of the tenured faculty, April 23, 2012.
INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES

In calculating student evaluation scores, a faculty member will include all courses evaluated as required by university policy and state law. All sections of a V course together count as one course. Faculty are expected to provide documentation of their accomplishments wherever appropriate or possible ambiguity exists.

UNSATISFACTORY:

Failure to meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating or above for two consecutive evaluation periods shall result in a rating of Unsatisfactory.

CONDITIONAL:

Failure to meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating or above in any given evaluation period shall result in a rating of Conditional.

SATISFACTORY:

Must meet all of the following standards 1-10:

1. Must provide a statement of no more than 500 words documenting and summarizing teaching effectiveness, appropriateness of content, learning objectives, rigor, and pedagogical approaches.

2. Meets assigned classes regularly as scheduled including giving the final during the final exam period unless a prior arrangement has been made with the Chair.

3. Keeps assigned office hours according to department policy, that is 2 hours per course taught during the semester, including courses taught online. Office hours must be held on at least 2 different days during regular business hours; an exception can be made when the faculty member teaches a night class and would like to schedule office hours directly prior to the class.

4. Replies to student inquiries in a timely fashion (in general, within no more than 48 hours).

5. Provides effective and accurate advisement when requested.


7. Provides regular evaluative feedback on student assignments.

8. Submits grades on time.

9. Provides syllabi that are clear, detailed, complete, and conform to University policy.

10. Has scores on the Student Perception of Instruction (SPI) surveys that are between 3.4 and 3.8, calculated as the mean of all course means per evaluation period. Individual student instruction, such as Honors in the Major, Internships, or Independent Research, shall be excluded from this calculation.

ABOVE SATISFACTORY:
11. Meets standards 1 through 9 above and the faculty member's scores on the SPI surveys shall average between 3.9 and less than 4.2, calculated as the mean of all course means per evaluation period. Individual student instruction, such as Honors in the Major, Internships, or Independent Research, shall be excluded from this calculation.

And


13. Teaches one new course of 3 credit hours or more or teaches a course previously taught as face-to-face as a V, M, or W course, or otherwise documents substantial revisions to a course previously taught.

14. Is the chairperson or committee member for at least one doctoral dissertation or Master’s thesis where the student is actively working towards making good progress.

15. Is the chairperson or committee member for at least one Honor’s thesis where the student is actively working towards making good progress.

16. Has directed at least three student credit hours of independent research or independent study.

17. Has served in a teaching capacity as faculty supervisor for at least one internship.

18. Has co-authored a conference paper or a published journal article with an undergraduate or graduate student.

19. Has organized and conducted one special advising workshop, such as a Careers workshop.

20. Is funded on one UCF teaching grant or course development initiative.

21. Publishes an invited or editorially reviewed article on teaching and learning in a non-UCF outlet that is not SoTL research. See Note below for a definition of SoTL.

OUTSTANDING:

22. **Option 1**: Meets standards 1-9 above and the faculty member's scores on the SPI surveys shall be 4.2 or above, calculated as the mean of all course means per evaluation period. Individual student instruction, such as Honors in the Major, Internships, or Independent Research, shall be excluded from this calculation.

   And

23. Meets three of 13 through 21 above (multiple participations possible).

24. **Option 2**: Meets standards 1-9 and 11 above.

   And

25. Meets two of 13-21 above (multiple participations possible).
26. Authors a textbook, first or subsequent editions. First editions will count for “Outstanding” for two evaluation periods; subsequent editions for one evaluation period.

27. Publishes a workbook or study guide.

28. Obtains an external scholarship of teaching and learning grant or an external teaching service grant.

29. Publishes a peer reviewed article on teaching and learning that is not SoTL (see NOTE below for definition of SoTL).

NOTE: Faculty members are given the opportunity to document activities that might not otherwise be revealed in the above categories or represent particularly outstanding accomplishment or service in teaching, evidence of quality of teaching, or evidence of student learning.

SoTL is defined as a “rigorous and thoughtful investigation of student learning, with the results made available for public review” (Carnegie, available at http://www.sotl.ilstu.edu/downloads/pdf/definingSoTL.pdf).

**RESEARCH AND CREATIVE ACTIVITIES**

Faculty are expected to provide documentation wherever appropriate.

**UNSATISFACTORY:**

Failure to meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating or above for two consecutive evaluation periods shall result in a rating of Unsatisfactory.

**CONDITIONAL:**

Failure to meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating or above in any given evaluation period shall result in a rating of Conditional.

**SATISFACTORY:**

1. Submits a research manuscript to a peer-reviewed journal (list of approved journals provided; department committee will keep updated and current list of journals to reflect developments in the discipline; documentation required; see NOTE below), or submits a completed book manuscript, documentation required.

   *And one of the following:*

2. Authors a scheduled paper presented at a national or international professional meeting.

3. Submits an internal or small external research grant (less than $20,000).
ABOVE SATISFACTORY:

4. Meets the criteria for Satisfactory and any one of the following 5-14:

5. Publishes a peer-reviewed article in a Selected Papers series or Proceedings.

6. Publishes a bibliographic essay or a review essay.


8. Edits a special issue of a journal.

9. Is funded on one small research grant (internal or external, less than $20,000), credit only in the evaluation period received.


11. Publishes an invited or editorially reviewed article.

12. Publishes a peer-reviewed article in a journal included in the list (see 1 above).

13. Publishes subsequent editions of scholarly book that require substantial amounts of additional research and writing.

14. Submits a large external grant application (over $20,000)

OUTSTANDING:


Or

16. Publishes two peer-reviewed research articles in professional journals (list of approved journals provided; documentation required; see NOTE below).

Or

17. Is funded on an external research grant of value $20,000 or greater, credit only in the evaluation period received. [If co-PI or included in grant, $20,000 refers to the portion assigned to faculty member.] In addition, needs to satisfy any one of 1, 2, or 5-13 above.

NOTE: Articles will be counted when accepted or published (but not both) and books and book chapters when published. The quality of journals not on the list must be documented in the Annual Report as indicated by criteria such as impact factor, inclusion in indexes, journal citation counts, circulation, rejection rates, and other indicators of scholarly visibility and impact. Grants will be counted after receipt of a letter of award, or when received (but not both).

For grants with more than one PI, the faculty member’s share of the grant must be documented; only the faculty member’s share rather than the total grant amount counts.
If the research article is a SoTL article, the faculty member needs to document that the article is research-based rather than experiential, descriptive, or anecdotal. Only research-based SoTL articles count in the research category; see NOTE in Teaching section above.

Faculty are able to document whether a particular research activity merits a higher evaluation.

**SERVICE AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT**
Faculty are expected to provide documentation wherever appropriate.

**UNSATISFACTORY:**
Failure to meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating or above for two consecutive evaluation periods shall result in a rating of Unsatisfactory.

**CONDITIONAL:**
Failure to meet the criteria for a Satisfactory rating or above in any given evaluation period shall result in a rating of Conditional.

**SATISFACTORY:**
Must satisfy standards 1 and 2 and an additional two of the following standards 3-12 or fulfills standard 13.

1. Regularly attends department meetings.
2. Actively participates in any assigned department committee.

*And two of the following standards 3-12*

3. Serves the university by service on departmental committee that meets regularly.
4. Serves the university by service to an active student organization.
5. Has conducted at least one FTIC or Transfer student orientation.
6. Serves the community by giving a professionally-related talk to a local or regional group, organization, public, private, or charter school; or in some other way shares professional expertise with public, private, or charter schools.
7. Serves the community by serving on a committee or as an officer for a local, regional, state, national, or international organization, in profession-related service.
8. Serves the profession by reviewing a manuscript for a professional journal or serving as a reviewer for a professionally related book manuscript.
9. Establishes community contacts for the purpose of student recruitment (e.g. at regional campuses).
10. Serves as the chairperson for, or a discussant on, a panel at a state, regional, national, or international professional meeting.

11. Publishes an article in *Faculty Focus* or other UCF publication.

12. Serves the community, university, or profession by being a credited media source for a print or elective story on government, politics, or policy.

Or

13. Demonstrates multiple participation under any one of the service categories represented in standards 3-12 above.

**ABOVE SATISFACTORY:**

14. Meets the standards necessary to achieve Satisfactory.

And

15. Satisfies an additional one of the following standards 16-29.


17. Serves the university by service on a college or university committee as a committee member.

18. Serves as an outside reviewer for tenure and/or promotion

19. Serves as an invited consultant to, spokesperson for, or keynote speaker at, a local, state, regional, national, or international organization or meeting.

20. Serves the profession by acting as division or program chair for a state, local, or regional meeting.

21. Is funded on one service grant.

22. Assigned as a mentor for tenure-earning member of the department or of another department.

23. Chairs a major university, college, or department committee.

24. Serves the profession by serving on a national-level committee or organization.

25. Successfully serves as the principal advisor for an interdisciplinary minor housed in the department.

26. Serves the profession as book review editor for a professional journal.

27. Acts as a division chair for a national or international conference.

28. Serves as a principal officer, editor, meeting organizer or chair of a state organization.

29. Serves as an editorial board member of a professional journal.

Or
30. Demonstrates multiple participation under two or more of the service categories represented in standards 3-12 above.

**OUTSTANDING:**

31. Meets the standards necessary to achieve Above Satisfactory.

And

32. In addition, meets more than one of the standards 16-29 or demonstrates multiple participation in any of the standards 16-29 above or satisfies one of the standards 33-43.

33. Successfully coordinates the Department’s undergraduate program.

34. Successfully coordinates the Department’s graduate program.

35. Successfully coordinates the Department’s internship program.

36. Successfully serves as the College or Departmental pre-law advisor.

37. Serves as a principal officer, meeting organizer or chair of a major committee for a national or international organization.

38. Receives public recognition for outstanding service to the university, community, or profession.

39. Documents that a major grant of any type is providing benefit to the Department, regardless of type.

40. Serves as program chair for a national, or international conference.

41. Serves as editor of a professional journal.

42. Serves as a reviewer for a proposal from a federal granting agency, such as the NSF.

43. Conducts a program review for a department at another university.
NOTE: Faculty members are given the opportunity to document activities that might not otherwise be revealed in the above categories or represent particularly outstanding accomplishment or service to the university, community, or profession.

OVERALL EVALUATION ASSESSMENT

Each Unsatisfactory rating = 0 points
Each Conditional rating = 1 point
Each Satisfactory rating = 2 points
Each Above Satisfactory rating = 3 points
Each Outstanding rating in Research = 5 points
Each Outstanding rating in other categories = 4 points

By summing in the three categories, the final evaluation will be achieved as follows:

- Unsatisfactory: 0-2 points overall
- Conditional: 3-5 points overall
- Satisfactory: 6-7 points inclusive with evaluation of conditional in no more than one category.
- Above Satisfactory: 8-10 points inclusive with evaluation in no category less than Satisfactory.
- Outstanding: 11-13 points inclusive or Outstanding in teaching and service and no less than Above Satisfactory in research.

Faculty must have an Above Satisfactory in Research or Teaching in order to get an overall Above Satisfactory.

NOTE:

Other university duties are occasionally assigned for special activities such as administrative duties or other special projects. Since the nature of these assignments is variable no attempt is made to specify evaluation in proportion to the total amount of time the assignment is weighted in the annual assignment form.