PROPAGATION OF LOCAL DISTURBANCES IN REACTION DIFFUSION SYSTEMS MODELING QUADRATIC AUTOCATALYSIS
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Abstract. This article studies the propagation of initial disturbance in a quadratic autocatalytic chemical reaction in one-dimensional slab geometry, where two chemical species $A$, called the reactant, and $B$, called the autocatalyst, are involved in the simple scheme $A + B \rightarrow 2B$. Experiments demonstrate that chemical systems for which quadratic or cubic catalysis forms a key step can support propagating chemical wavefronts. When the autocatalyst is introduced locally into an expanse of the reactant, which is initially at uniform concentration, the developing reaction is often observed to generate two wavefronts, which propagate outward from the initial reaction zone. We show rigorously that with such an initial setting the spatial region is divided into three regions by the two wavefronts. In the middle expanding region, the reactant is almost consumed so that $A \approx 0$, whereas in the other two regions there is basically no reaction so that $B \approx 0$. Most of the chemical reaction takes place near the wavefronts. The detailed characterization of the concentrations is given for each of the three zones.
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1. Introduction. In this paper we consider an isothermal autocatalytic chemical reaction step governed by the quadratic reaction relation

$$A + B \rightarrow 2B \quad \text{with rate } kab.$$

Here, $k > 0$ is the reaction rate, and $a$ and $b$ are the concentrations of reactant $A$ and autocatalyst $B$, respectively.

Well documented in the literature, the quadratic reaction relation has appeared in several important models of real chemical reactions, e.g., the Belousov–Zhabotinskii reaction and also gas-phase radical chain branching, oxidation reactions, such as the carbon-monoxide-oxygen reaction, and hydrogen-oxygen systems [13].

Experimental observations demonstrate the existence of propagating chemical wave fronts in unstirred chemical systems for which quadratic or cubic catalysis forms a key step [15], [25]. These wavefronts, or travelling waves, arise due to the interaction of reaction and diffusion. Quite often when a quantity of autocatalyst is added locally into an expanse of reactant, which is initially at uniform concentration, the ensuing reaction is observed to generate wavefronts which propagate outward from the initial reaction zone, consuming fresh reactant ahead of the wavefront as it propagates. This is the phenomenon to be addressed in this paper.
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We study the following system for \( u = u(x, t), v = v(x, t) \):

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_t - Du_{xx} = -uv, \\
&v_t - v_{xx} = uv, \\
&u(\cdot, 0) = u_0(\cdot), \quad v(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot)
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.1}
\]

It is the result of simple scaling of the standard system
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\[a_t = D_A a_{xx} - kab, \quad b_t = D_B b_{xx} + kab,
\]

with \( D = D_A / D_B \).

Our basic assumptions are the following:

(A1) \( D \in (0, 1] \);

(A2) \( u_0(x) = 1 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \); and

(A3) \( v_0 \) is a continuous nonnegative function having compact support, \( v_0(0) > 0 \).

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume (A1)–(A3) and let \((u, v)\) be the solution of (1.1). Set

\[ m(t) = 2t - 3(\log[3 + t] - \log 3). \tag{1.2}\]

Then for each \( t > 0 \) and \( x \in [-m(t), m(t)] \), we have \((u, v) \approx (0, 1)\) in the following sense:

\[ u(x, t) \leq e^{-\mu m(t) - |x|}, \quad |1 - v(x, t)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + m(t) - |x|}}. \tag{1.3}\]

On the other hand, when \( x \in (-\infty, -m(t)] \cup [m(t), \infty) \), we have \((u, v) \approx (1, 0)\) in the sense that

\[ |1 - u(x, t)| + v(x, t) \leq C\left\{ 1 + |x| - m(t) \right\}e^{m(t)-|x|}. \tag{1.4}\]

A result somewhat similar to ours is obtained by Billingham and Needham [7] using formal asymptotic and numerical computation. There, instead of a Cauchy initial problem, an initial-boundary value problem on \((0, \infty)\) is considered, with a homogenous Newmann condition at \( x = 0 \). The proof we give here is rigorous.

It will be interesting to see how to generalize our result to the cubic autocatalysis reaction with nonlinear reaction term \( uv^2 \). But a number of technical difficulties need to be overcome, not least of which is a result similar to that of Bramson on the traveling speed of a scalar equation with nonlinearity \( u(1 - u)^2 \).

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 contains the analysis of \( u \) behind the reaction front. In section 3 the estimate of the front location is provided. The behavior of \((u, v)\) after the reaction has taken place is shown in section 4.

We note in passing that unlike the single equation case, of which many excellent results have been proved in the last 30 years as exemplified by the works of Aronson and Weinberger [2], Fife and McLeod [10], Sattinger [21], and Chen and Guo [8] (the survey paper of Xin [24] provides a more detailed account on recent progress), there are very limited results on the study of traveling waves and their effect on global dynamics for parabolic systems. With the recent progress of proving the existence of traveling waves in [9] and [20], we hope to spur interest in such problems since many mathematical models in biology, most of which are reaction-diffusion systems, are deeply linked to traveling wave phenomena. We also note that systems similar to ours appear in the study of thermal-diffusive flows with advection; see [4], [16], [17], [18], [19], and [23].
2. Exponential decay of a reactant behind a reaction front. Whenever an autocatalyst presents, the chemical reaction takes place very fast; as a result, the reactant is consumed quickly and therefore experiences an exponential decay (in time). The central issue here is to find the spreading speed of the autocatalyst. Mathematically, by assuming $D \in (0, 1]$ (i.e., the reactant diffuses no faster than the autocatalyst does), we are able to find a good comparison to pin down the autocatalyst’s spreading speed.

2.1. A comparison.

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that $D \in (0, 1]$ and $u_0(x) \geq 0$, $v_0(x) \geq 0$, $u_0(x) + v_0(x) \geq 1$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$v(x, t) \leq \sqrt{D} \Phi(x, t) \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty),$$

where $\Phi$ is the solution of the initial value problem of the Fisher KPP (Kolmogorov–Petrovskii–Piskunov) equation

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_t - \Phi_{xx} = \Phi - \Phi^2 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty), \quad \Phi(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot) \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}.$$

**Proof.** Denote by $K(x, t)$ the fundamental solution to the heat operator,

$$K(x, t) := (4\pi t)^{-1/2}e^{-x^2/(4t)}.$$

Then the solution of (1.1) can be decomposed as

$$u = u^0 - u^1, \quad v = v^0 + v^1,$$

where

$$u^0(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x - y, D t) u_0(y) \, dy,$$

$$v^0(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x - y, t) v_0(y) \, dy,$$

$$u^1(x, t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y, D(t - s)) f(y, s) \, dy \, ds,$$

$$v^1(x, t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x - y, t - s) f(y, s) \, dy \, ds,$$

$$f(x, t) = u(x, t) v(x, t).$$

Here $u^0$ and $v^0$ are the concentrations of the reactant and the autocatalyst, respectively, before chemical reaction is initiated. The quantity $u^1$ is the amount of reactant consumed and $v^1$ is the amount of autocatalyst produced in the reaction.

By the maximum principle, we know that $u \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$, and so $f := uv \geq 0$. Upon noticing that

$$K(x, D t) := (4\pi D t)^{-1/2}e^{-x^2/(4Dt)} \leq (4\pi D t)^{-1/2}e^{-x^2/(4t)} = D^{-1/2}K(x, t),$$

we see that

$$u^1(x, t) \leq D^{-1/2} u^1(x, t) \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty).$$

This implies that

$$u = u^0 - u^1 \geq u^0 - \frac{v^1}{\sqrt{D}} = u^0 - \frac{v - v^0}{\sqrt{D}} = \left(u^0 + \frac{v^0}{\sqrt{D}}\right) - \frac{v}{\sqrt{D}}.$$
Note that 
\[ u_0(x, t) + v_0(x, t) \sqrt{D} = \int_\mathbb{R} K(y, Dt)u_0(x - y)dy + \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \int_\mathbb{R} K(y, t)v_0(x - y)dy \]
\[ \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_\mathbb{R} e^{-\eta^2} \left\{ u_0(x - 2\eta\sqrt{Dt}) + v_0(x - 2\eta\sqrt{Dt}) \right\} d\eta \]
\[ \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_\mathbb{R} e^{-\eta^2} d\eta = 1. \]

Thus,
\[ \left( \frac{v}{\sqrt{D}} \right)_t - \left( \frac{v}{\sqrt{D}} \right)_{xx} = u \frac{v}{\sqrt{D}} \geq \left( 1 - \frac{v}{\sqrt{D}} \right) \frac{v}{\sqrt{D}}. \]

A simple comparison then gives \( \Phi \leq v/\sqrt{D}. \)

2.2. Bramson’s result. We denote by \( W \) the minimum speed traveling wave profile of the Fisher equation
\[ 2W'' + W' + W - W^2 = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}, \]
\[ W(-\infty) = 1, \quad W(0) = 1/2, \quad W(\infty) = 0. \]

The following result can be derived from Bramson’s work [3].

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume that \( v_0 \) is a nonnegative continuous function on \( \mathbb{R} \) with compact support and \( v_0(0) > 0 \). Let \( \Phi \) be the solution of (2.1). Then there exist constants \( z_+ \) and \( z_- \) such that
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{x > 0} |\Phi(x, t) - W([x - z_+ - m(t)])| = 0, \]
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{x < 0} |\Phi(x, t) - W([m(t) + z_- - x])| = 0, \]
where
\[ m(t) := 2t - 3[\log(3 + t) - \log 3] \quad \forall \ t > 0. \]

2.3. The exponential decay of \( u \) in the reaction zone.

**Theorem 2.3.** Assume that \( D \in (0, 1], u_0 \geq 0, v_0 \geq 0, u_0 + v_0 \geq 1, \) and \( v_0(0) > 0. \)
Let \( (u, v) \) be the solution of (1.1). Then there exists a positive constant \( k \) such that
\[ (2.2) \quad v > k \quad \text{in} \quad Q := \{(x, t) \mid t > 0, |x| < m(t)\}. \]

Consequently, with \( \mu = \sqrt{1 + kD - 1}/D \), there holds
\[ u(x, t) \leq \bar{u}(x, t) := e^{\mu[|x - m(t)|]} + e^{-\mu|m(t) + x|} \quad \forall \ t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \]

**Proof.** First, applying the comparison lemma, Lemma 2.1, and Bramson’s result, Lemma 2.2, we see that \( v > k \) in \( Q \).
Since \( u \leq 1 \), we need only consider the function \( u \) in the set \( Q \). When \((x, t) \in Q\), we use \( v \geq k \) to calculate
\[
\tilde{u}_t - D\tilde{u}_{xx} + v\tilde{u} \geq \tilde{u}_t - D\tilde{u}_{xx} + k\tilde{u} = \tilde{u} \left\{ k - D\mu^2 - 2\mu + \frac{3\mu}{3 + t} \right\} \geq \tilde{u}[k - D\mu^2 - 2\mu] = 0.
\]

Since \( \tilde{u} > 1 \geq u \) on the parabolic boundary of \( Q \), the assertion of the lemma thus follows from the parabolic comparison principle. \( \square \)

3. Location of the reaction front. The comparison of \( v \) with the solution of the Fisher equation shows that the reaction front is at least as far as \( \pm (2t - 3\log t) \) from the origin for large \( t \). Here we show that the reaction front is located exactly in a vicinity of \( \pm (2t - 3\log t) \).

For this, we denote
\[
\hat{u}(x, t) = \min \left\{ 1, e^{\mu[x-m(t)]} + e^{-\mu[m(t)+x]} \right\}.
\]
Then \( u \leq \hat{u} \). Consequently,
\[
v_t - v_{xx} = uv \leq \hat{u}v \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty).
\]

Hence, by Green’s formula,
\[
0 \leq v(x, t) \leq \int_\mathbb{R} G(x, t; y, 0) v_0(y) \, dy,
\]
where for each \((x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty), G(x, t; \cdot, \cdot) \) is the fundamental solution of
\[
G_s + G_{yy} = \hat{u}(y, s) G(x, t, y, s) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \ s \in [0, t),
\]
\[
G(x, t; y, t) = \delta(x - y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Here \( \delta \) is the Dirac measure. Using Bramson’s technique [3, Chapters 6 and 7], one can derive that
\[
G(x, y, t, 0) \leq \frac{C(\mu) e^{\mu|x-y|^2/(4t)}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}(1 - e^{-|y| \cdot ||x|-m(t)+1|/t}).
\]

Since \( v_0 \) has compact support, by following calculations illustrated in [3] we obtain the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** There exists a positive constant \( C_1 \) such that
\[
v(\pm[m(t) + z], t) \leq C_1[1 + |z|]e^{-z} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \ t > 0.
\]

Note that when \( u_0 \equiv 1 \), we have \( u^0 \equiv 1 \) so that
\[
|u - 1| = u^1 \leq D^{-1/2}v^1 \leq D^{-1/2}v.
\]
The estimate (1.4) thus follows from the above lemma.

4. Autocatalyst generated after reaction. We know that the two reaction fronts are near \( m(t) \) and \( -m(t) \). In the reaction zone \([-m(t), m(t)]\), the reactant is consumed very quickly. As the autocatalyst is assumed to diffuse no slower than the reactant, it is expected that \( v \approx 1 \) inside the reaction zone when reaction is completed. This section is devoted to proving this expectation.
4.1. An $L^\infty$ estimate of $v$.

**Lemma 4.1.** There exists a positive constant $C_2$ such that

$$v(x, t) \leq C_2,$$

$$|u_x| \leq C_2 e^{-\mu |x-m(t)|} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0.$$ 

**Proof.** Set

$$K = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{\mu^2 + 2\mu} \right\}.$$

Let $t_0$ be the constant such that

$$Ke^{-m(t_0)} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Consider the function

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{v}(x, t) = 1 - K \bar{u} = 1 - Ke^{\mu |x-m(t)|} - Ke^{-\mu |x+m(t)|}$$

in the set

$$Q(t_0) := \{(x, t) \mid t > t_0, |x| < m(t) - m(t_0)\}.$$ 

Since $u < \bar{u}$ in $Q(t_0)$, we have

$$\bar{v}_t - \bar{v}_{xx} - u\bar{v} \geq \bar{v}_t - \bar{v}_{xx} - \bar{v}\bar{v} \geq K\bar{u}^2 > 0.$$ 

Then we have $\bar{v} \geq 1/2$ on the parabolic boundary of $Q(t_0)$. Hence, by comparison,

$$v \leq M \bar{v} \quad \text{in} \quad Q(t_0), \quad M := \sup_{\partial Q(t_0)} v \leq C_1[1 + m(t_0)]e^{m(t_0)}.$$ 

This estimate, together with Lemma 3.1, implies that $v$ is uniformly bounded.

Once we know the boundedness of $v$, we can obtain the estimate for $u_x$ by applying the local parabolic estimate. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \geq 2$,

$$\|u_x\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)} \leq C(D) \left\{ \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q_2)} + \min \{\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_2)}, \|u - 1\|_{L^\infty(Q_2)} \} \right\},$$

where

$$Q_1 = (x-1, x+1) \times (\max\{t-1, 0\}, t), \quad Q_2 := (x-2, x+2) \times (\max\{t-2, 0\}, t).$$

Here we used, for simplicity, the assumption that $u_0 \equiv 1$ is a smooth function. \hfill \Box

4.2. The equilibrium state after reaction. Now we show that $v \approx 1$ in $(-m(t), m(t))$ for large $t$. For this purpose, we consider the function

$$w = u + v - u^0 - v^0.$$ 

Note that $u^0 \equiv 1$; then

$$\|v^0(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(\cdot - y, t)v_0(y)dy \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right),$$

$$|u(x, t)| \leq e^{-\mu |m(t)-x|} + e^{-\mu |x+m(t)|}.$$
We see that

\[ |v - 1| \leq |w| + |u| + |v^0|. \]

The assertion (1.3) thus follows from the following.

**Lemma 4.2.** There exists a constant \( C_2 > 0 \) such that

\[ |w(x, t)| \leq \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{m(t) - |x|}} \quad \forall x \in (-m(t), m(t)), \ t > 0. \]

**Proof.** Note that \( w \) satisfies

\[ w_t - w_{xx} = (D - 1)u_{xx} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty), \quad w(\cdot, 0) = 0. \]

Hence,

\[
\begin{align*}
w(x, t) &= (D - 1) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x - y, t - s)u_{yy}(y, s)dyds \\
&= (D - 1) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_x(x - y, t - s)u_y(y, s)dyds.
\end{align*}
\]

It then follows that

\[ |w(x, t)| \leq C(1 - D) \left\{ J(x, t) + J(-x, t) \right\}, \]

where

\[
J(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_x(x - y, t - s)| e^{-\mu|y - m(s)|}dyds
\]

\[
= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_x(x - y - m(t - s), s)| e^{-\mu|y|}dyds.
\]

To complete the proof, it suffices to show the following:

\[ J(m(t) - z, t) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{z}} \quad \forall z > 0. \]

Let \( z > 0 \) and \( t > 0 \) be arbitrary. Note that

\[ J(m(t) - z, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_x(m(t) - m(t - s) - z - y, s)| e^{-\mu|y|}dyds,
\]

\[ K_x(x, s) = -\frac{xe^{-x^2/(4s)}}{4\sqrt{\pi}s^{3/2}}. \]

We divide the integral in \( s \) into the following three intervals.

(i) \( s \in [z/4, 2z] \). For each fixed \( y \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
\int_{z/4}^{2z} |K_x(m(t) - m(s) - z - y, s)|ds
\]

\[
\leq \int_{z/4}^{2z} \frac{|m(t) - m(t - s) - y - z|}{4\sqrt{\pi}|z/4|^{3/2}} e^{-|m(t) - m(t - s) - y - z|^2/(4z)}ds.
\]
We use the change of variable from $s$ to $\eta$ defined by

$$\eta = \frac{m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y}{z}, \quad d\eta = \frac{m'(t-s)}{z} ds = \frac{2 - \frac{3}{z(t-s)}}{z} ds \geq \frac{ds}{z}.$$ 

We find that

$$\int_{z/4}^{\min\{2z,t\}} |\mathcal{K}_x(m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y,s)| ds \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta e^{-\eta^2} d\eta = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi z}}.$$ 

It then follows that

$$\int_{z/4}^{\min\{2z,t\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}_x(m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y,s)| e^{-\mu|y|} ds dy \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\mu|y|} dy \leq \frac{4}{\mu\sqrt{\pi z}}.$$ 

(ii) $s > 2z$. We write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}_x(m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y,s)| e^{-\mu|y|} dy = \int_{|y| > s/6} + \int_{|y| < s/6}.$$ 

For the first integral,

$$\int_{|y| > s/6} \leq e^{-\mu s/6} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}_x(m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y,s)| dy = 2e^{-\mu s/6} K(0,s) = \frac{e^{-\mu s/6}}{\sqrt{\pi s}}.$$ 

For the second integral, we first notice that $|m(t) - m(t-s)| \geq s$ (since $1 \leq m' < 2$ on $[0,\infty)$). Hence, when $|y| < s/6$,

$$|m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y| \geq |m(t) - m(t-s)| - z - y \geq s - \frac{s}{2} - \frac{s}{6} = \frac{s}{3}.$$ 

Consequently,

$$\int_{|y| < s/6} |\mathcal{K}_x| e^{-\mu|y|} dy \leq \int_{|x| > s/3} |\mathcal{K}_x(x,s)| dx = 2K\left(\frac{s}{3},s\right) = \frac{\sqrt{3}e^{-s/36}}{\sqrt{\pi s}}.$$ 

Thus,

$$\int_{z}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}_x(m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y,s)| e^{-\mu|y|} dy ds \leq \int_{z}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{-\mu s/6}}{\sqrt{\pi s}} + \frac{\sqrt{3}e^{-s/36}}{\sqrt{\pi s}}\right) ds = O(e^{-z/36}) + O(e^{-\mu z/6}).$$

(iii) $0 < s < z/4$. We write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}_x(m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y,s)| e^{-\mu|y|} dy = \int_{|y| > z/4} + \int_{|y| < z/4}.$$ 

The first integral is easy to estimate:

$$\int_{|y| > z/4} \leq e^{-\mu z/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}_x| dy = \frac{e^{-\mu z/4}}{\sqrt{\pi s}}.$$
For the second integral, we notice that \(|m(t) - m(t-s)| \leq z/2\), so that when \(|y| \leq z/4\), we have
\[
|m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y| \geq z - |m(t) - m(t-s)| - |y| \geq z - z/2 - z/4 = z/4.
\]
Also, \(|m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y| < 2z\). Hence,
\[
|K_x(m(t) - m(t-s) - z - y, s)| \leq \frac{ze^{-z^2/(64s)}}{2\sqrt{\pi s}^{3/2}}.
\]
It follows that
\[
\int_{|y|<z/4} |K_x|e^{-\mu|y|}dy \leq \frac{ze^{-z^2/(64s)}}{2\sqrt{\pi s}^{3/2}} \int_R e^{-\mu|y|} = \frac{ze^{-z^2/(64s)}}{\mu\sqrt{\pi s}^{3/2}}.
\]
Thus,
\[
\int_{0}^{\min\{z/4, t\}} |K_x|e^{-\mu|y|}dy \leq \int_{0}^{z/4} \frac{ze^{-z^2/(64s)}}{\mu\sqrt{\pi s}^{3/2}} ds + \int_{0}^{z} \frac{e^{-\mu z/4}}{\sqrt{\pi s}} ds
\]
\[
= \int_{\sqrt{z/8}}^{\infty} \frac{4}{\mu\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\eta^2} d\eta + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\mu z/4} = O(e^{-\mu z/8}).
\]
Combining all these estimates, we then obtain the assertion of the lemma. \(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The theorem follows directly from the results of Theorem 2.3 and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.
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