Volterra (1926)

- 1. Why the focus on Laws?
- 2. Volterra is clearly focusing here (after previous one-species work) on predator-prey systems. Was ecology already that advanced in 1926?
- 3. What assumptions accompany these linked population cycles?

Birch (1954)

- 4. 28 years later, Birch was still unraveling single species math. Given [Lotka &] Volterra in the 1920s, why this slow progress in ecology, entomology, etc.?
- 5. Is *r* a selected trait?
- 6. Do populations actually have stable age distributions?
- 7. Does anyone actually use all this $(r, R_0, T, l_x, m_x, \lambda, \text{ etc.})$ for ecological research anymore? Can't we just use machine learning to predict populations?

Cole (1954)

- 8. Cole tries to join life history biology to population math. Does he bridge the math / field split?
- 9. p. 128 explains crude birth rate (BR) conflate births AND longevity. So what census data *should* we focus on?
- 10. If age at first reproduction is essential, why do we instead emphasize crude birth rate? Or mean age of childbearing?
- 11. Cole advocates for comparative life history studies. *Oh great*. Do all this number crunching for multiple populations or species. To what end? So what?
- 12. Why are semelparous organisms not iteroparous or vicel versous?