## Chave (2013)

- 1. Is our collective ability to work on more complex subjects and at bigger scales a simple, direct result of advances in computational power?
- 2. scaling of respiration, NPP, body sizes, etc.: (pgs. 7-8) does it sound like general predictive models based on biomass and environmental conditions (temp., etc.) are coming?
- 3. Interaction networks, conflicts across scales, modularity have you been aware of network-thinking in ecology?
- 4. p. 13. Do you agree that "Ricklefs (1987)'s plea for more integration with systematics, biogeography and palaeontology has now been realised"?
- 5. Does this paper support Levin's suggestion/rule that aggregating at a next-more-coarse level of scale is more likely to find generality?

## Chase et al. (2018)

- 6. What is a rarefaction curve? Are crossed lines diagnostic of different biodiversities?
- 7. Please walk me through Fig. 4 what is the take home message here?
- 8. How might the approach outlined at Fig. 5 and Table 1 and shown in the "recipe" help make biodiversity analysis more scale-explicit?

## He et al. (2020)

- 9. Pretty statistical, huh?
- 10. This work approaches spatial scale very differently than Chase et al. (2018). Which do you like better?
- 11. Table 2. Any consistent stories or surprises in here?
- 12. Fig. 3. What message emerges from this outcome?
- 13. Why is limited dispersal more important to community composition patterns at larger scales?