<u>Hohnen R, et al. (2016)</u>. Occupancy of the invasive feral cat varies with habitat complexity. PLoS ONE 11: e0152520.

- 1. How do you view sample size of this work?
- 2. Is it odd to compare cat occupancy to small mammal relative abundances?
- 3. 74 of 1695 trap nights = \sim 4% of trap nights recorded a feral cat. Is that good enough?
- 4. Cat traps were deployed in 2011 and 2014. Mammal trapping in 2006, 20012, 2013, 2014 at different locations (Table 1). Is it fair to compare different years in different locations?
- 5. Will habitats that are more complex &/or hard to access be the last refuges against biotic homogenization?
- 6. Could our occupancy maps be a guide on re-introduction of threatened species?

<u>Essl F, et al. (2019)</u>. Drivers of the relative richness of naturalized and invasive plant species on Earth. AoB PLANTS 11: plz051

- 1. Intro, 2nd paragraph. Should we use these or similar hypotheses for the 100 worst?
- 2. p. 5 "26 % of all islands in the data set have accumulated more naturalized than native species" Can I get a "wow!" ?
- 3. Table 1 Which variable(s) stand out as being most important to (A) RRN and (B) RRI?
- 4. p.7 "Thus, at the global scale the probability of a naturalized species becoming invasive is similar on islands and in mainland regions."
- 5. Did it help to have results repeated in Table 1 and Fig. 2?
- 6. If years post-colonization does not help explain island data, what other temporal trajectory might?
- 7. Is this work a model / resource for our own project?