POLITICS, SECURITY, AND INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

ANNUAL EVALUATION STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES

Instructor/Lecturer Faculty

Approved by Majority of Tenured Faculty on March 28, 2017

Approved – Faulty Excellence – April 2017 Available for first use academic year 2017-18

Name:			
Date:			

PREFACE

Some measure of interpretation is required in the implementation of any set of guidelines such as these. Interpretation of the guidelines in individual cases is the responsibility of the Director. However, the expressed spirit and purpose of these guidelines is to establish minimum evaluation ranks associated with various levels of performance.

In evaluating faculty, the Director shall take into consideration peer input, which will be provided by a committee elected by the School consisting of three tenured faculty members and a tenure-earning faculty member, who shall act as an alternate. The alternate shall attend all meetings and will participate when matters relating to another member of the committee are at hand. The alternate will not sit on review of the files of other tenure- earning faculty members. The committee will consult with the School Director on general concerns and interpretations of the Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures guidelines.

In all cases, the final decisions are the sole responsibility of the Director.

NOTE: Receipt of awards, such as Excellence awards, TIP, RIA and SoTL, are not necessarily evidence of Outstanding in the current evaluation period.

INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES

Teaching constitutes a major component of the assignment of all faculty in the School, regardless of rank. We expect all faculty to be effective classroom teachers, to engage with individual students outside of assigned classes, and to advise students. We also encourage faculty to engage in professional development opportunities that improve teaching, and to contribute to discussions on teaching on campus and at conferences. It is important that all faculty use teaching strategies that are appropriate for a diverse student body and support UCF's mission of broadening access to higher education. Quality of instructional activities is measured in a variety of ways including student perceptions and student learning, self-reflection and reporting, and Director and peer review.

Notes:

In calculating student evaluation scores, a faculty member will include all courses evaluated as required by university policy. SPI scores are calculated as the mean of all course means per evaluation period for the question rating the "Overall effectiveness of instructor." Individual student instruction, such as Honors in the Major, Internships, or Independent Research, shall be excluded from this calculation. All sections of a course taught in V mode shall be combined into one score.

Faculty are expected to provide documentation of their accomplishments wherever appropriate or possible ambiguity exists.

SoTL is defined as a "rigorous and thoughtful investigation of student learning, with the results made available for public review" (Carnegie, available at http://www.sotl.ilstu.edu/downloads/pdf/definingSoTL.pdf).

Minimum expectations for all evaluation categories:

1.	Teaches in accordance with the Collective Bargaining Agreement, UCF Policies & Procedures, the rules laid out in the Teaching at UCF handbook, and the APSA Guide to Ethics.
2.	Meets assigned classes regularly as scheduled, including giving the final assessment during the final exam period, unless a prior arrangement has been made with the Director.
3.	Keeps assigned office hours according to School policy.
4.	Replies to student inquiries in a timely fashion (in general, within no more than 48 hours).
5.	Provides effective and accurate advisement when requested.
6.	Submits book orders on time as required by state legislation.
7.	Provides regular evaluative feedback on student assignments.
8.	Submits grades on time.
9.	Provides syllabi that are clear, detailed, complete, and conform to University policy.
10.	Faculty will provide a reflective statement of no more than 500 words that discusses pedagogical approaches, course innovations and revisions, student learning activities and assessments/evaluation methods, and learner outcomes that demonstrate course quality. Please provide your reflective essay at the end of this document.
11.	Has scores on the Student Perception of Instruction (SPI) surveys that average 3.0 or above.
12.	Must demonstrate substantial writing components in all classes (a minimum of 2,000 words per student).

13.	Must be available for scheduled advising sessions on 2 different days prior to beginning of fall and
	spring semesters, to be scheduled in consultation with School Director.

UNSATISFACTORY:

Failure to carry out all of the above will result in a conditional rating. A rating of Conditional for two years in a row will also result in a rating of Unsatisfactory.

CONDITIONAL:

In order to receive a rating of Conditional, a faculty member fails to meet the criteria for Satisfactory.

SATISFACTORY:

Must have a minimum of 4 points from the following list of activities. (Note: For all items on the list, no more than 4 points may be accumulated for each activity unless otherwise noted.)

ABOVE SATISFACTORY:

Must have a minimum of 6 points from the following list of activities. (Note: For all items on the list, no more than 4 points may be accumulated for each activity unless otherwise noted.)

OUTSTANDING:

Must have a minimum of 8 points from the following list of activities. (Note: For all items on the list, no more than 4 points may be accumulated for each activity unless otherwise noted.)

Faculty can score points by engaging in a variety of teaching-related activities in the areas of classroom

Activities:

pts total)

tea	ching, individual student supervision and advising, activities to improve teaching, and publications.
1.	Teaches one new course of 3 credit hours or more, or teaches a course previously taught as face-to-face as a V, M, or W course, or otherwise documents substantial revisions to a course previously taught (1 pt).
2.	Has scores on the Student Perception of Instruction (SPI) surveys that average 4.2 or above (1 pt)
3.	Is a committee member or co-chair for a doctoral dissertation where the student is making good progress. (1 pt, maximum of 3 pts total)
4.	Is the teaching mentor for a PhD student (.5 pt per student)
5.	Is the chairperson for an MA thesis where the student is making good progress. (1 pt, maximum of 3

progress. (1 pt, maximum of 3 pts total)
8. ____ Is a committee member for at least one undergraduate Honor's thesis where the student is actively working towards making good progress. (.5 pt)
9. Has served as reader for MA non-thesis paper (1 pt for first reader; .5 for second reader)

6. Is a committee member for at least one MA thesis where the student is making good progress. (.5 pt)

7. _____Is the chairperson for at least one undergraduate Honor's thesis where the student is making good

Has served on the MA comprehensive exams committee (.5 per student, max of 1 pt)
Has written letters of recommendation for students. (.5 per 5 students, max of 1 pt total)
Has directed at least 3 student credit hours of independent research or independent study or supervised an undergraduate student in research credit hours (e.g. xxx4912). (1 pt)
Has served in a teaching capacity as faculty supervisor for an internship. (1 pt)
Has mentored a student to participation in UCF's research showcase (undergraduate or graduate), or to publication in UCF's Undergraduate Research Journal (.5 pts per student)
Has mentored an undergraduate or graduate student to present a paper or poster at a professional conference or submit a manuscript to an academic journal. (.5 pts per student, max of 1pt)
Has co-authored a conference paper with an undergraduate or graduate student. (1 pt)
Has co-authored a published journal article with an undergraduate or graduate student. (1 pt)
Presents at a conference (1 pt) or publishes an invited, editorially reviewed, or peer-reviewed article in a non-UCF outlet. (2 pt)
Is funded on one UCF teaching grant or course development initiative. (1 pt)
Obtains an external teaching or course development grant. (1 pt)
Has attended a teaching related workshops on campus (.5 pt)
Has attended a multi-day teaching workshop or conference, such as the FCTL Winter or Summer Conference or the APSA Teaching & Learning Conference. (1 pt)
Authors a textbook, first or subsequent editions. First editions will count for two evaluation periods; subsequent editions for one evaluation period. (2 pts 1st ed; 1 pt subsequent eds.)
Publishes a workbook or study guide. (1pt)
Publishes a peer-reviewed article on teaching and learning that is not SoTL (see NOTE below for definition of SoTL). (1.5 pts)
Publishes a non-peer reviewed article on teaching and learning in a non-UCF outlet that is not SoTL research. See Note below for a definition of SoTL. (1 pt)
Publishes an article on teaching in a non-peer reviewed UCF outlet, such as the <i>Faculty Focus</i> (.5 pt)
Publishes a peer-reviewed SoTL article (1 pt)

SERVICE

	alty members are expected to engage in service. Areas of service include the university, the profession, community. Minimum expectations for service are:
1.	Regularly attends School meetings.
2.	Actively participates in any assigned School committee (credit for the committee is listed below; if assigned to a committee, must be actively participating to meet minimum criteria).
3.	Provides an updated professional CV at least once a year for posting on the School's website
Failure	to meet the minimum expectations will result in an automatic "unsatisfactory" rating in service.
docume points c	members can engage in any of the following service activities; additional activities not listed here can be ented as "other." Each activity listed below is worth 1 point unless otherwise noted. A maximum of 3 and be earned for any single item listed below. Faculty members must meet the following requirements ags in service:
A facult	TISFACTORY ty member who scores 0 pts from the list below, or who has two consecutive years of Conditional, will "unsatisfactory."
	TTIONAL ty member who scores between 1 and 3 points from the list below will be rated "conditional."
	FACTORY ty member who scores 4 or 5 points from the list below will be rated "satisfactory."
	E SATISFACTORY ty member who scores 6 or 7 points from the list below will be rated "above satisfactory."
	TANDING ty member who scores 8 or more points from the list below will be rated "outstanding."
1.	Chairs or is a member of a search committee for a faculty position.
2.	Is an active member or chair of a School, college, or university committee (.5 for member, 1 pt for chair).
3.	Advises an active student organization, or has a special advising function (e.g. low-GPA advisor), or has conducted a special advising workshop, such as a Careers or Law School Admissions workshop, or has conducted a Transfer or FTIC orientation, or has engaged in other special advising functions.
4.	Successfully coordinates the School's undergraduate, graduate, internship, HIM, or similar program, or successfully serves as the College or School pre-law advisor, or serves as the principal advisor for a major, minor, or certificate housed in the School.
5.	Successfully directs a Program, Center, or Institute housed in the School (e.g. ICCAE, Kurdish Political Studies).

6Attends graduation and graduation reception.
7Leads a teaching related workshop for the School, college, university or profession.
8Is awarded a major grant of any type that provides benefit to the School.
9Organizes a scholarly symposium or conference with multiple speakers or panels on campus.
10. Reviews a manuscript for a professional journal (.5 per article review, 2 pts max), a professionally related book manuscript a book proposal, or a proposal from a federal granting agency, such as the NSF (3 pts maximum for all items together).
11Is the chairperson for, a discussant, or a roundtable participant, on a panel at a state, regional, national, or international professional meeting.
12Serves as section or program chair for a professional conference (2 pts for national or international conferences).
13Is an invited consultant to, spokesperson for, or keynote speaker at a local, state, regional, national, or international organization or meeting, or is invited as a consultant to the government on political issues.
14Serves as a principal officer, meeting organizer or member or chair of a major committee for a state, regional, national, or international professional association.
15Publishes a book review in a professional journal.
16Serves as an external reviewer for promotion.
17Serves as editorial board member or book review editor for a professional journal.
18 Serves as editor of a professional journal. (2 pts)
19 Receives public recognition for outstanding service to the university, community, or profession
20Conducts a program review for a department at another university. (2 pts)
21 Engages the public in discussions about politics and political science by publishing an op-ed in a newspaper or publishing postings on professional blogs (e.g. Monkey Cage).
22Serves as a credited media source for a print or electronic story on government, politics, or policy (.5 per interview).
23Serves the community in profession-related service.
24 Other activities can be documented.

OVERALL EVALUATION:

OVERALL EVALUATION ASSESSMENT

Each Unsatisfactory rating = 0 points
Each Conditional rating = 1 point
Each Satisfactory rating = 2 points
Each Above Satisfactory rating = 3 points
Each Outstanding rating in Teaching = 5 points
Each Outstanding rating in Service = 4 points

By summing the scores for Teaching and Service, the final evaluation will be achieved as follows:

Unsatisfactory: 0-1 points overall Conditional: 2-3 points overall

Satisfactory: 4 points inclusive with evaluation of teaching no less than Satisfactory.

Above Satisfactory: 5-6 points inclusive with evaluation in no category less than Satisfactory.

Outstanding: 7-9 points inclusive and Outstanding in teaching.

NOTE:

Other university duties are occasionally assigned for special activities such as administrative duties or other special projects. Since the nature of these assignments is variable no attempt is made to specify evaluation in proportion to the total amount of time the assignment is weighted in the annual assignment form.