

Wiener's lemma for infinite matrices with polynomial off-diagonal decay

Le lemme de Wiener pour matrices infinies a décroissance polynomiale des termes non-diagonaux

Qiyu Sun

Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA

Abstract

In this note, we give a simple elementary proof to Wiener's lemma for infinite matrices with polynomial off-diagonal decay.

Résumé

Dans cette note, nous donnons une preuve élémentaire du lemme de Wiener pour les matrices infinies a décroissance polynomiale des termes non-diagonaux.

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser I, 340(2005), 567-570.

1. Introduction

The classical Wiener's lemma states that *if a periodic function f has an absolutely convergent Fourier series and never vanishes, then $1/f$ has an absolutely convergent Fourier series.*

Let ℓ^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the space of all p -summable sequences on \mathbf{Z}^d equipped with usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^p}$, denote by \mathcal{B}^2 the space of all bounded operators on ℓ^2 equipped with usual operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}$, and define $\mathcal{W} := \{(a(i-j))_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} : \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |a(j)| < \infty\}$ with a norm $\|A\|_{\mathcal{W}} := \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |a(j)|$ for every matrix $A = (a(i-j))_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \in \mathcal{W}$. An equivalent formulation of the classical Wiener's lemma involving matrix algebra can be stated as follows: $A \in \mathcal{W}$ and $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^2$ imply $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{W}$.

The classical Wiener's lemma and its various generalizations (see, for instance, [3], [8], [9], [12], [13], [14]) are important and have numerous applications, for instance, in numerical analysis ([4], [17], [18]),

Email address: qsun@mail.ucf.edu (Qiyu Sun).

wavelets and affine frames ([5], [14]), time-frequency analysis ([2], [10], [11], [12], [13], [19]), shift-invariant spaces and polynomial spline spaces ([1], [8], [15], [19]), and non-uniform sampling ([6], [19]). Unlike the matrix algebra \mathcal{W} associated with the classical Wiener's lemma, which is *commutative*, the matrix algebras in the study of spline approximation and projection ([7], [8]), affine and Gabor frame ([2], [5], [12], [13]), and non-uniform sampling ([6], [19]) are *extremely non-commutative*. But for various purposes, we still expect that those matrix algebras have the above property that the matrix algebra \mathcal{W} has.

For $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, let

$$Q_{p,\alpha} := \{A := (A(i,j))_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} : \|A\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\|A\|_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \|(A(i,j)(1+|i-j|)^\alpha)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d}\|_{\ell^p} + \sup_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \|(A(i,j)(1+|i-j|)^\alpha)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d}\|_{\ell^p}. \quad (1.2)$$

For $p = \infty$, we see that $A = (A(i,j))_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \in Q_{\infty,\alpha}$ if and only if $|A(i,j)| \leq \|A\|_{\infty,\alpha}(1+|i-j|)^{-\alpha}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^d$. Because of the above interpretation of matrices in $Q_{p,\alpha}$ for $p = \infty$, we call matrices in $Q_{p,\alpha}$ to have *polynomial off-diagonal decay*.

For the matrix algebra $Q_{p,\alpha}$ with $p = \infty$ and $\alpha > d$, Jaffard use a rather delicate bootstrap argument to prove that $A \in Q_{\infty,\alpha}$ and $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^2$ imply $A^{-1} \in Q_{\infty,\alpha}$ ([14]). For the matrix algebra $Q_{p,\alpha}$ with $p = 1$ and $\alpha > 0$, Barnes use the Banach algebra technique to show that $A \in Q_{1,\alpha}$ and $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^2$ imply $A^{-1} \in Q_{1,\alpha}$ (see [3] for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and [13] for any $\alpha > 0$). In this note, we study the matrix algebra $Q_{p,\alpha}$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\alpha > d(1 - 1/p)$ and give a simple elementary proof to the following Wiener's lemma.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\alpha > d(1 - 1/p)$. Then $A \in Q_{p,\alpha}$ and $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^2$ imply $A^{-1} \in Q_{p,\alpha}$.*

More general formulation of the above Wiener's lemma and its applications to frames and sampling will be discussed in the subsequent paper [19].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\alpha > d(1 - 1/p)$. Then there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$\|A^n\|_{p,\alpha} \leq C_1 \left(C_2 \frac{\|A\|_{p,\alpha}}{\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}} \right)^{\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta} n \log_2(2-\theta)} (\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2})^n \quad (2.1)$$

holds for all $A \in Q_{p,\alpha}$ and $n \geq 1$, where $\theta = 1 - \frac{d}{2\alpha - 2d(1/2 - 1/p)}$.

Proof. By Hölder inequality,

$$\|A\|_{1,0} \leq C \|A\|_{p,\alpha} \quad \text{for all } A \in Q_{p,\alpha}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here and hereafter, C denotes an absolute constant which could be different at different occurrence.

By the definition of the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}$,

$$\|A\|_{2,0} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} \leq \|A\|_{1,0}. \quad (2.3)$$

For any $A = (A(i,j))_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}^d}$ and $B = (B(i,j))_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}^d}$ in $Q_{p,\alpha}$,

$$\|AB\|_{p,\alpha} \leq 2^\alpha \|A\|_{p,\alpha} \|B\|_{1,0} + 2^\alpha \|A\|_{1,0} \|B\|_{p,\alpha}, \quad (2.4)$$

by Hölder inequality and the following estimate:

$$|(AB)(i, j)|(1 + |i - j|)^\alpha \leq 2^\alpha \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |A(i, k)|(1 + |i - k|)^\alpha |B(k, j)| + 2^\alpha \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |A(i, k)| |B(k, j)|(1 + |k - j|)^\alpha.$$

Let $\theta_1 = (\alpha - d(1/2 - 1/p))^{-1}$ and $\tau = (\|A\|_{p,\alpha})^{\theta_1} (\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2})^{-\theta_1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |A(i, k)| &\leq \sum_{|i-k| \leq \tau} |A(i, k)| + \sum_{|i-k| \geq \tau} |A(i, k)| \leq C\tau^{d/2} \|A\|_{2,0} + C\tau^{-\alpha+d(1-1/p)} \|A\|_{p,\alpha} \\ &\leq C\tau^{d/2} \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} + C\tau^{-\alpha+d(1-1/p)} \|A\|_{p,\alpha} = 2C(\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2})^{1-d\theta_1/2} (\|A\|_{p,\alpha})^{d\theta_1/2} \end{aligned}$$

by (2.2) and (2.3), which yields

$$\|A\|_{1,0} \leq C(\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2})^{1-d\theta_1/2} (\|A\|_{p,\alpha})^{d\theta_1/2} \quad \text{for all } A \in Q_{p,\alpha}. \quad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.5) leads to the following compensated compactness estimate:

$$\|A^2\|_{p,\alpha} \leq C\|A\|_{p,\alpha}^{2-\theta} \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^\theta \quad \text{for all } A \in Q_{p,\alpha}. \quad (2.6)$$

Applying (2.2), (2.4) and (2.6), and using $\|A^n\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^n$ for $n \geq 1$, we obtain the following for any $n \geq 1$:

$$\|A^{2n}\|_{p,\alpha} \leq D(\|A^n\|_{p,\alpha})^{2-\theta} (\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2})^{n\theta},$$

and

$$\|A^{2n+1}\|_{p,\alpha} \leq D\|A\|_{p,\alpha} (\|A^n\|_{p,\alpha})^{2-\theta} (\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2})^{n\theta},$$

where $D \geq 1$ is a positive constant independent of $A \in Q_{p,\alpha}$ and $n \geq 1$. Thus the sequence $\{b_n\}$, to be defined by $b_n = D^{-1/(1-\theta)} \|A^n\|_{p,\alpha} (\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2})^{-n}$, $n \geq 1$, satisfies

$$b_{2n} \leq b_n^{2-\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{2n+1} \leq b_1 b_n^{2-\theta} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

By induction, we have the following upper bound estimate to the sequence $\{b_n\}$:

$$b_n \leq b_1 \sum_{i=0}^l \epsilon_i (2-\theta)^i \leq b_1^{\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta}} n^{\log_2(2-\theta)}$$

for $n = \sum_{i=0}^l \epsilon_i 2^i$, where $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $0 \leq i \leq l$. Therefore (2.1) follows. \square

Remark 2.2 For the special case that $p = 1$, $\alpha = 0$, and $A = (q(j - j'))_{j, j' \in \mathbf{Z}}$ with $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} q(j) e^{-ij\xi}$ being reciprocal of a trigonometric polynomial Q , Newman proved the following better estimate than the one in (2.1) for the $Q_{1,0}$ norm of A^n : $\|A^n\|_{1,0} \leq Cn^2 \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^n$ for all $n \geq 1$, where C is a positive constant depending on the degree of the polynomial Q . That estimate is crucial for Newman's elementary proof of the classical Wiener's lemma ([16]).

Now we start to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: For any $A = (A(i, j))_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \in Q_{p,\alpha}$, we define its transpose A^* by $A^* := (\overline{A(j, i)})_{i, j \in \mathbf{Z}^d}$. Then $A^*A \in Q_{p,\alpha}$ by (2.2), (2.4), and the fact that $\|A^*\|_{p,\alpha} = \|A\|_{p,\alpha}$. This, together with the fact that A^*A is a positive operator on ℓ^2 by the assumption on the matrix A , implies that

$$A^*A = \|A^*A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} (I - B) \quad (2.7)$$

for some $B \in \mathcal{B}^2$ with

$$\|B\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|B\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty, \quad (2.8)$$

where I is the identity operator on ℓ^2 . By (2.8) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\|(I - B)^{-1}\|_{p,\alpha} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|B^n\|_{p,\alpha} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_1 \left(C_2 \frac{\|B\|_{p,\alpha}}{\|B\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}} \right)^{\frac{2-\theta}{1-\theta} n^{\log_2(2-\theta)}} (\|B\|_{\mathcal{B}^2})^n < \infty. \quad (2.9)$$

The conclusion $A^{-1} \in Q_{p,\alpha}$ then follows from (2.2), (2.4), (2.7), (2.9), and the fact that $A^{-1} = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*$.
□

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank Professors Akram Aldroubi, Karlheinz Gröchenig, Deguang Han, and Charles Micchelli for their various helps in the process to prepare this note and the subsequent paper.

References

- [1] A. Aldroubi and K. Gröchenig, Nonuniform sampling and reconstruction in shift-invariant space, *SIAM Review*, **43**(2001), 585–620.
- [2] R. Balan, P. G. Casazza, C. Heil, and Z. Landau, Density, overcompleteness and localization of frames, Preprint, 2004.
- [3] B. A. Barnes, The spectrum of integral operators on Lebesgue spaces, *J. Operator Theory*, **18**(1987), 115–132.
- [4] O. Christensen and T. Strohmer, The finite section method and problems in frame theory, *J. Approx. Th.*, To appear.
- [5] C. K. Chui, W. He, and J. Stöckler, Nonstationary tight wavelet frames II: unbounded intervals, *Appl. Comp. Harmonic Anal.*, **18**(2005), 25–66.
- [6] E. Cordero and K. Gröchenig, Localization of frames II, *Appl. Comput. Harmonic Anal.*, **17**(2004), 29–47.
- [7] C. de Boor, A bound on the L_∞ -norm of the L_2 -approximation by splines in terms of a global mesh ratio, *Math. Comp.*, **30**(1976), 687–694.
- [8] S. Demko, Inverse of band matrices and local convergences of spline projections, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **14**(1977), 616–619.
- [9] I. M. Gelfand, D. A. Raikov, and G. E. Silov, *Commutative Normed Rings*, New York, Chelsea, 1964.
- [10] K. Gröchenig, Localized frames are finite unions of Riesz sequences, *Adv. Comput. Math.*, **18**(2003), 149–157.
- [11] K. Gröchenig, Localization of frames, Banach frames, and the invertibility of the frame operator, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **10**(2004), 105–132.
- [12] K. Gröchenig and M. Leinert, Wiener’s lemma for twisted convolution and Gabor frames, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **17**(2003), 1–18.
- [13] K. Gröchenig and M. Leinert, Symmetry of matrix algebras and symbolic calculus for infinite matrices, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, To appear.
- [14] S. Jaffard, Propriétés des matrices bien localisées près de leur diagonale et quelques applications, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, **7**(1990), 461–476.
- [15] R.-Q. Jia and C. A. Micchelli, Using the refinement equations for the construction of pre-wavelets II: Powers of two, In *Curves and surfaces (Chamonix-Mont-Blanc, 1990)*, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1991, pp. 209–246.
- [16] D. J. Newman, A simple proof of Wiener’s $1/f$ theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **48**(1975), 264–265.
- [17] T. Strohmer, Rates of convergence for the approximation of shift-invariant systems in $\ell^2(\mathbf{Z})$, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **5**(2000), 519–616.
- [18] T. Strohmer, Four short stories about Toeplitz matrix calculations, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **343/344**(2002), 321–344.
- [19] Q. Sun, In preparation.