Abstract

- We consider the inverse acoustic obstacle scattering problem for sound soft obstacles in two dimensions where the boundary of the obstacle is determined from measurements of the scattered field at a set of receivers placed around the obstacle.
- In [1], the authors presented a novel neural network (NN) scheme that performed better than the classical LSM in the search of the initial guess.
- In this work, we present a new strategy based in curriculum learning to train the neural network developed in [1].



Fig. 1: Real World Application: Medical and Seismic Imaging, Multistatic System

Background

The scattering process can be modeled by the PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + k^2 u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \\ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^{1/2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial |x|} - ik \right) u^{scat}(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where we have that $u = u^{inc} + u^{scat}$, where u^{inc} is the incident wave and u^{scat} is the scattered wave.

Forward Problem:

Given u^{inc} and an object with boundary Ω , we solve for the scattered fields represented as a linear combination of single and double layer potentials. We can use the Theory of Integral Equations and Potential Theory to solve the equation and get:

$$u^{scat}(x) = D\varphi(x) + i\eta S\varphi(x), \text{ on } x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus$$

Inverse Problem:

Given u^{inc} and u^{scat} , we are looking for $\hat{\Gamma} = \partial \Omega$ such that: $\hat{\Gamma} = \operatorname{argmin}\{\|F(\Gamma) - u^{scat}\|\}$. Methods like recursive linearization algorithm (RLA) used to solve Inverse Scattering Problems work very well but require an initial guess to function. The more complex the boundary, the better the initial guess must be in order to converge on a usable solution.

LSM vs. Neural Network

LSM:

The linear sampling method (LSM) [1] is a sampling method where the level-set of an indicator function is used for the solution of the inverse obstacle scattering problem. We define the far field operator \mathcal{L} as

$$\mathcal{L}[g](x) = \int_0^{2\pi} u_{so}$$

and the L is the discretized counterpart of this operator. In the LSM, for each point x in the domain we solve the problem $(L^*L + \alpha I)g_x = f_x,$

 α is a regularization parameter, $(f_x)_i = G(k|x - x_i|, G$ is the Green's function, and x_i is the *i*-th sensor. A point is in the domain if g_x is above a threshold.

Neural Network to substitute the LSM:

- In [4], the authors proposed a NN that would simulate the results of the LSM. The main idea would be to train this network using the same data available for the LSM.
- The NN presented results superior to the LSM in most examples.



CURRICULUM LEARNING FOR INVERSE SCATTERING Nickolas Arustamyan¹, Megan Carlson², Mikayla Fischer ², Dr. Carlos Borges² University of Florida¹, University of Central Florida²





ncident fiel scattered

Fig. 2: Setting of the Problem

 $\sqrt{\Omega}$ and $[(\frac{1}{2}I + D + i\eta S)\varphi](x) = -u^{inc}(x)$

 $cat(x,\theta)g(\theta)d\theta$,

Fig. 3: Neural Network structure that beat LSM



















This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-2243772. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. Dr. Carlos Borges work was partially funded by the Office of Naval Research under Award Number N00014-21-1-2389. In addition, we would like to acknowledge the help provided by Dr. Jiequn Han.

Curriculum Learning

- Curriculum learning (CL) trains the model by transitioning gradually from "easy" to "hard" data, rather than randomly selected data like traditional machine learning (ML) schemes. In our research, "easy" data refers to lower frequency waves, while "hard" data refers to higher frequency waves.
- We will use CL to provide the initial guess for the iterative solvers and compare the results to LSM warm starts.

Graphical Results

Experiment 1: Reconstructions





Experiment 2: Robustness to Noise



Experiment 3: Robustness to General Domains



Conclusions

in the reconstruction of the obstacle shape in comparison with traditional methods such as LSM.

Acknowledgements

